REGIONAL CLIMATE CHANGE EXPECTED IN HUNGARY FOR 2071-2100

J. BARTHOLY* – R. PONGRÁCZ – GY. GELYBÓ

¹Department of Meteorology, Eötvös Loránd University H-1117 Budapest, Pázmány Péter sétány 1/a. (phone: +36-1-372-2945; fax: +36-1-372-2904)

e-mail: bari@ludens.elte.hu

(Received 27th Feb 2007; accepted 15th May 2007)

Abstract. Expected climate change estimations for the Carpathian basin and especially, Hungary, are summarized for the 2071-2100 period on the basis of the results from the project PRUDENCE. Different regional climate models (RCMs) used 50 km as the horizontal spatial resolution, and evaluated the A2 and B2 global emission scenarios. Results suggest that in case of temperature, a warming trend is evident in the Carpathian basin. The largest warming is expected in summer. The expected change of annual total precipitation is not significant. However, significantly large and opposite trends are expected in different seasons. Seasonal precipitation amount is very likely to increase in winter, while it is expected to decrease in summer, which implies that the annual distribution of precipitation is expected to be restructured. The wettest summer season may become the driest (especially in case of A2 scenario), and the driest winter is expected to be the wettest by the end of the 21st century. It is evident that all these climate processes affect agricultural activity and disaster management strategy. In order to prepare for the changing climate conditions, results of this regional climate change analysis may serve as basic information.

Keywords: Regional climate change, temperature, precipitation, Carpathian basin, regional climate model

Introduction

The Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovermental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC) was published in February 2, 2007. According to the report of the Working Group I [1], the main key processes influencing the European climate include (i) increased water vapour transport from low to high latitudes, (ii) changes of variation of the atmospheric circulation on interannual as well, as longer time scales, (iii) reduction of snow cover during winter in the northeastern part of the continent, (iv) drying of the soil in summer in the Mediterranean and central European regions. For instance, the heat wave occurred in summer 2003 in central Europe can be considered as a consequence of a long period of anticyclonic weather [2], which coincided with a severe drought in the region [3]. In case of Europe, it is likely that the increase of annual mean temperature will exceed the global warming rate in the 21st century. The largest increase is expected in winter in northern Europe [4], and in summer in the Mediterranean area. Minimum temperatures in winter are very likely to increase more than the mean winter temperature in northern Europe [5], while maximum temperatures in summer are likely to increase more than the mean summer temperature in southern and central Europe [6]. Concerning precipitation, the annual sum is very likely to increase in northern Europe [5] and decrease in the Mediterranean area. On the other hand, in central Europe, which is located at the boundary of these large regions, precipitation is likely to increase in winter, while decrease in summer. In case of the summer drought events, the risk is likely to increase in central Europe and in the Mediterranean area due to decreasing summer precipitation and increasing spring

evaporation [7, 8]. As a consequence of the European warming, the length of the snow season and the accumulated snow depth are very likely to decrease over the entire continent [1].

Spatial resolutions of global climate models (GCMs) are inappropriate to describe regional climate processes; therefore, GCM outputs may be misleading to compose regional climate change scenarios for the 21st century [9]. In order to determine better estimations for regional climate parameters, fine resolution regional climate models (RCM) can be used. RCMs are limited area models nested in GCMs, i.e., the initial and the boundary conditions of RCMs are provided by the GCM outputs [10]. Due to computational constrains the domain of an RCM does not cover the entire globe, sometimes not even a continent. On the other hand, their horizontal resolution may be as fine as 5-10 km. The first project completed in the frame of the European Union V Program is the PRUDENCE (Prediction of Regional Scenarios and Uncertainties for Defining European Climate Change Risks and Effects), which involved 21 European research institutes and universities. The primary objectives of PRUDENCE were to provide high resolution (50 km × 50 km) climate change scenarios for Europe for 2071-2100 using dynamical downscaling methods with RCMs (using the reference period 1961-1990), and to explore the uncertainty in these projections [11]. Results of the project PRUDENCE are disseminated widely via Internet (http://prudence.dmi.dk) and several other media, and thus, they support socio-economic and policy related decisions.

In the frame of project PRUDENCE, the following sources of climate uncertainty were studied [11]:

- Sampling uncertainty. Simulated climate is considered as an average over 30 years (2071-2100, reference period 1961-1990).
- Regional model uncertainty. RCMs use different techniques to discretize the differential equations and to represent physical processes on sub-grid scales.
- Emission uncertainty. RCM runs used two IPCC-SRES emission scenario, namely, A2 and B2. 16 experiments from the PRUDENCE simulations considered the A2 scenario, while only 9 of them used the B2 scenario.
- Boundary uncertainty. RCMs were run with boundary conditions from different GCMs. Most of the PRUDENCE simulations used HadAM3H as the driving GCM. Only a few of them used ECHAM4 or ARPEGE [12].

In this paper, the regional climate change projections are summarized for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of all available PRUDENCE simulations. First, results of the expected temperature change by the end of the 21st century are discussed, and then, expected change of the other important climate parameter, precipitation is presented.

Data

Adaptation of RCMs with 10-25 km horizontal resolution is currently proceeding in Hungary, at the Department of Meteorology, Eötvös Loránd University [13], and at the Hungarian Meteorological Service [14]. Results of these RCM experiments are expected within 2-4 years, however, impact studies and end-users need and would like to have access to climate change scenario data much earlier. Therefore, in order to fulfill this instant demand with preliminary information, outputs of PRUDENCE simulations are evaluated and offered for the Carpathian basin. In case of the A2 scenario 16 RCM experiments are used, while in case of B2, only outputs of 8 RCM simulations are

available. Since the project PRUDENCE used only these two emission scenarios, no other scenario is discussed in this paper. *Table 1* lists the name of the contributing institutes, the RCMs, the driving GCMs, and the available scenarios we used in the composite maps. Composite maps of expected temperature and precipitation change cover the Carpathian basin (45.25°-49.25°N, 13.75°-26.50°E). The climate projections of PRUDENCE are available for the end of the 21st century (2071-2100) using the reference period of 1961-1990.

	Institute	RCM	Driving GCM	Scenario
1	Danish Meteorological Institute	HIRHAM	HadAM3H	A2, B2
2		HIRHAM	ECHAM5	A2
3		HIRHAM high resolution	HadAM3H	A2
4		HIRHAM extra high res.	HadAM3H	A2
5	Hadley Centre of the UK Met Office	HadRM3P (ensemble/1)	HadAM3P	A2, B2
6		HadRM3P (ensemble/2)	HadAM3P	A2
7	ETH (Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule)	CHRM	HadAM3H	A2
8	GKSS (Gesellschaft für Kernenergieverwertung in Schiffbau und Schiffahrt)	CLM	HadAM3H	A2
9	,	CLM improved	HadAM3H	A2
10	Max Planck Institute	REMO	HadAM3H	A2
11	Swedish Meteorological and Hydrological Inst.	RCAO	HadAM3H	A2, B2
12		RCAO	ECHAM4/OPYC	B2
13	UCM (Universidad Complutense Madrid)	PROMES	HadAM3H	A2, B2
14	International Centre for Theoretical Physics	RegCM	HadAM3H	A2, B2
15	Norwegian Meteorological Institute	HIRHAM	HadAM3H	A2
16	KNMI (Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Inst.)	RACMO	HadAM3H	A2
17	Météo-France	ARPEGE	HadCM3	A2, B2
18		ARPEGE	ARPEGE/OPA	B2

Table 1. List of RCMs with their driving GCMs used in the composite analysis

Temperature projections for the Carpathian basin

Composites of the mean seasonal temperature change are mapped for both A2 and B2 scenarios. In order to represent the uncertainty of the composite maps, standard deviations of the RCM model results are also determined and mapped for all seasons. *Fig. 1* presents the expected seasonal temperature change for A2 scenario, while *Fig. 2* shows the seasonal standard deviation values for the Carpathian basin. Similar seasonal maps for the B2 scenario can be seen in *Figs. 3* and *4*. Similarly to the global and the European climate change results, larger warming can be expected for A2 scenario in the Carpathian basin than for B2 scenario. The largest temperature increase is expected in summer, while the smallest increase in spring. The same conclusion can be drawn from *Table 2* where the intervals of the seasonal temperature increase are summarized for the

area of Hungary. The expected summer warming ranges are $4.5-5.1^{\circ}$ C and $3.7-4.2^{\circ}$ C for the A2 and B2 scenario, respectively. In case of spring, the expected temperature increase inside Hungary is 2.9-3.2°C (for A2 scenario) and 2.4-2.7°C (for B2 scenario). On the basis of seasonal standard deviation fields (*Figs. 2* and 4), the largest uncertainty of the expected temperature change occurs in summer for both emission scenarios.



Figure 1. Seasonal temperature change (°C) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 16 RCM simulations, A2 scenario



Figure 2. Standard deviation of seasonal temperature change (°C) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 16 RCM simulations, A2 scenario



Figure 3. Seasonal temperature change (°C) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 8 RCM simulations, B2 scenario



Figure 4. Standard deviation of seasonal temperature change (°C) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 8 RCM simulations, B2 scenario

Table 2. Expected mean temperature increase by 2071-2100 for Hungary in case of A2 and B2 scenario using 16 and 8 RCM simulations, respectively

Scenario	Spring (MAM)	Summer (JJA)	Autumn (SON)	Winter (DJF)
A2	2.9-3.2 °C	4.5-5.1 °C	4.1-4.3 °C	3.7-4.3 °C
B2	2.4 - 2.7 °C	3.7-4.2 °C	3.2-3.4 °C	2.9-3.2 °C

Fig. 5 summarizes the expected mean seasonal warming for Hungary in case of A2 and B2 scenarios. In general, the expected warming by 2071-2100 is more than 2.5° C and less than 4.8° C for all seasons and for both scenarios. Expected temperature changes for the A2 scenario are larger than for the B2 scenarios. The smallest difference is expected in spring (0.6°C), while the largest in winter (1°C). The largest temperature increase is expected in summer, 4.8° C (A2) and 4.0° C (B2). The smallest temperature increase is expected in spring (3.1°C and 2.5°C in case of A2 and B2 scenario, respectively).



Figure 5. Expected seasonal increase of mean temperature (°C) for Hungary (temperature values of the reference period, 1961-1990, represent the seasonal mean temperature in Budapest)

In order to evaluate the model performance, temperature bias is determined for each RCM output fields using the simulations for the reference period (1961-1990), and the CRU (Climate Research Unit of the University of East Anglia) database [15]. *Fig. 6* presents the composite of the RCM biases. In general, the RCM simulations overestimate the temperature in most of the Carpathian basin, however, small underestimation can be seen in the western and northeastern boundary of the selected domain. The largest overestimation can be detected in the southern part of Hungary (1.0-1.5°C). In the northern part of Transdanubia and the northern part of the Great Plains the temperature is overestimated by 0.5-1.0°C, while in the northeastern part of the country the overestimation is only 0-0.5°C.



Figure 6. Average seasonal temperature bias (°C) for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 16 RCM simulations and the CRU data (1961-1990)

Similarly to mean temperature, expected seasonal warming of daily maximum and minimum temperatures in the Carpathian basin were mapped. Although these maps are not shown here, the maximum and minimum temperature increase expected in Hungary is summarized in Table 3 and Fig. 7 (similarly to Table 2 and Fig. 5 for the mean temperature). According to Table 3, the largest warming is expected in summer for both scenario: in case of maximum temperature the interval of the expected increase is 4.9-5.3°C (A2) and 4.0-4.4 (B2), while in case of minimum temperature these intervals are 4.2-4.8°C (A2) and 3.5-4.0°C (B2). The expected increase of mean temperature in summer (from Table 2) is between the expected warming of the maximum temperature and that of the minimum temperature. Summarizing the expected mean seasonal increase of daily extreme temperature for Hungary (in Fig. 7), the entire interval of the expected warming include values from 2.4°C to 5.1°C, which is 0.4°C larger than in case of the mean temperature. The largest temperature increases are expected in summer for both scenario, which is not surprising if the above results are considered. The expected increase of the maximum temperature generally is not smaller than the expected increase of the minimum temperature, the only exception is winter.

Table 3. Expected increase in maximum and minimum temperature by 2071-2100 for Hungary in case of A2 and B2 scenario using 16 and 8 RCM simulations, respectively

	Scenario	Spring (MAM)	Summer (JJA)	Autumn (SON)	Winter (DJF)
Maximum	A2	2.8-3.3 °C	4.9-5.3 °C	4.3-4.6 °C	3.7-4.2 °C
	B2	2.4-2.6 °C	4.0-4.4 °C	3.3-3.5 °C	2.6-3.0 °C
Minimum	A2	3.0-3.2 °C	4.2-4.8 °C	4.0-4.2 °C	3.8-4.6 °C
	B2	2.3-2.7 °C	3.5-4.0 °C	3.0-3.2 °C	2.8-3.5 °C



Figure 7. Expected seasonal increase of daily minimum and maximum temperature (°C) for Hungary (temperature values of the reference period, 1961-1990, represent the seasonal mean temperature in Budapest)

In order to provide a better overview on the spatial differences of expected temperature changes (both mean and extremes) for Hungary by the end of the 21st century, *Table 4* summarizes the spatial gradients of warming for summer and winter. In summer, zonal structure of warming (i.e., increasing values from north to south) can be detected in case of all parameters. On the other hand, in winter, generally a meridional structure of warming is expected (i.e., increasing values from west to east). The only exception is the spatial structure of expected maximum temperature increase in case of B2 scenario, which shows a zonal structure instead. If a larger domain is considered, the meridional gradient dominates the European region in case of this map as well, as the other expected winter temperature change fields [11]. In spring and autumn, the gradient values are much smaller, they do not exceed 0.4 and 0.3, respectively.

Table 4. Spatial gradients of expected summer and winter temperature change for the Carpathian basin for 2071-2100 (zonal gradient is positive in case of increasing change from north to south, while meridional gradient is positive in case of increasing change from west to east)

	Scenario	Summer (JJA)	Winter (DJF)
Mean temperature	A2	Zonal: +0.7 °C	Meridional: +0.6 °C
	B2	Zonal: +0.5 °C	Meridional: +0.5 °C
Maximum temperature	A2	Zonal: +0.6 °C	Meridional: +0.5 °C
	B2	Zonal: +0.4 °C	Zonal: +0.4 °C
Mininimum temperature	A2	Zonal: +0.7 °C	Meridional: +0.8 °C
	B2	Zonal: +0.6 °C	Meridional: +0.7 °C

Precipitation projections for the Carpathian basin

Similarly to temperature projections, composites of mean seasonal precipitation change and standard deviations are mapped for both A2 and B2 scenarios for the 2071-2100 period. *Fig. 8* presents the expected seasonal precipitation change for A2 scenario, while *Fig. 9* shows the seasonal standard deviation values for the Carpathian basin. Similar seasonal maps for the B2 scenario can be seen in *Figs. 10* and *11*. The annual precipitation sum is not expected to change significantly in this region [16], but it is not valid for seasonal precipitation. According to the results shown in *Figs. 8* and *10*, summer precipitation is very likely to decrease (also, slight decrease of autumn precipitation is expected), while winter precipitation is likely to increase considerably (slight increase in spring is also expected). *Table 5* summarizes the intervals of seasonal precipitation change for Hungary. In summer, the projected precipitation decrease is 24-33% (A2) and 10-20% (B2). In winter, the expected precipitation values (*Figs. 9* and *11*), the largest uncertainty of precipitation change is expected in summer, especially, in case of A2 scenario (the standard deviation of the RCM results exceeds 20%).



Figure 8. Seasonal precipitation change (%) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 16 RCM simulations, A2 scenario



Figure 9. Standard deviation of seasonal precipitation change (%) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 16 RCM simulations, A2 scenario



Figure 10. Seasonal precipitation change (%) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 8 RCM simulations, B2 scenario



Figure 11. Standard deviation of seasonal precipitation change (%) expected by 2071-2100 for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 8 RCM simulations, B2 scenario

Table 5. Expected	mean precipitation	change by 2	2071-2100 for	· Hungary in	case of A2 and
B2 scenario using	16 and 8 RCM simi	ulations, res	pectively		

Scenario	Spring (MAM)	Summer (JJA)	Autumn (SON)	Winter (DJF)
A2	0-(+10) %	(-24) – (-33) %	(-3) – (-10) %	(+23) – (+37) %
B2	(+3) – (+12) %	(-10) – (-20) %	(-5) – 0 %	(+20) – (+27) %

Fig. 12 summarizes the expected seasonal change of precipitation for Hungary in case of A2 and B2 scenarios. Red and blue arrows indicate increase and decrease of precipitation, respectively. According to the reference period, 1961-1990, the wettest season was summer, then, less precipitation was observed in spring, even less in autumn, and the driest season was winter. If the projections are realized then the annual distribution of precipitation will be totally restructured, namely, the wettest seasons will be winter and spring (in this order) in case of both A2 and B2 scenarios. The driest season will be summer in case of A2 scenario, while autumn in case of B2 scenario. On the base of the projections, the annual difference between the seasonal precipitation amounts is expected to decrease significantly (by half) in case of B2 scenario (which implies more similar seasonal amounts), while it is not expected to change in case of A2 scenario (nevertheless, the wettest and the driest seasons are completely changed).



Figure 12. Expected seasonal change of mean precipitation (mm) for Hungary (increasing or decreasing precipitation is also indicated in %). Precipitation values of the reference period, 1961-1990, represent the seasonal mean precipitation amount in Budapest.

In order to evaluate the model performance, precipitation bias is determined for all the RCM output fields using the simulations for the reference period (1961-1990), and the CRU database [15]. *Fig. 13* presents the composite of the RCM biases. In general, the RCM simulations overestimate the precipitation in most of the Carpathian basin, however, underestimation can be seen in the southwestern part of the region. In Hungary, the bias is not exceeding 15% in absolute terms. The precipitation is slightly

underestimated in the western/southwestern part of the country, while precipitation in the other large parts (including the entire Great Plains and the eastern part of Transdanubia) is slightly overestimated.



Figure 13. Average seasonal precipitation bias (°C) for the Carpathian basin using the outputs of 16 RCM simulations and the CRU data (1961-1990)

Table 6 summarizes the spatial gradients of expected seasonal precipitation change. Precipitation is a highly variable meteorological parameter, therefore, the spatial structure is more complex than in case of temperature. In winter and in spring, the spatial structures of expected precipitation change (for both A2 and B2 scenarios) are dominated by radial and zonal gradients, respectively. In summer, zonal structure can be seen in case of A2 scenario, while radial structure is expected in case of B2 scenario.

Table 6. Spatial gradients of expected seasonal precipitation change for the Carpathian basin for 2071-2100 (zonal gradient is positive in case of increasing change from north to south, meridional gradient is positive in case of increasing change from west to east, and radial gradient is positive in case of increasing change from the boundary to the center)

Scenario	Spring (MAM)	Summer (JJA)	Autumn (SON)	Winter (DJF)
A2	Zonal: -10%	Zonal: -8%	Meridional: -7%	Radial: +13%
B2	Zonal: -5%	Radial: -10%	Radial: 0%	Radial: +5%

Discussion and conclusions

The target period of PRUDENCE simulations covers the end of the 21st century (2071-2100), thus, the above results presented for the Carpathian basin provide projections for this period. On the other hand, impact studies would require regional climate change scenarios for earlier periods, preferably for the next few decades. The only information source currently available with fine (i.e., 50 km) horizontal resolution

for Hungary and other European countries is a special comprehensive assessment based on the PRUDENCE simulations [11]. This country-by-country based analysis is conducted for both the mean temperature and the precipitation amounts. In order to avoid the specific characteristics of A2 or B2 scenario, a pattern scaling technique has been applied, thus, the changes are expressed relative to a 1 °C global warming. Uncertainties in the estimates of projected changes are due to the use of different GCMs and RCMs, as well, as natural variability. As a result, mean and standard deviation of 25 estimates of temperature and precipitation change are provided for each country. Furthermore, these main statistical parameters are used to fit a normal probability distribution function for the projected change. *Table 7* summarizes the mean, the standard deviation, the 5th and the 95th percentiles of the seasonal and annual projected temperature changes for Hungary, while *Table 8* contains the same information for precipitation. In case of the percentile values, their associated 95% confidence intervals are also provided.

Table 7. Statistical characteristics of expected increase of temperature for Hungary relative to 1°C global warming using 25 RCM simulations [11]. In case of percentiles, the values in brackets indicate the 95% confidence intervals.

	Annual	Spring (MAM)	Summer (JJA)	Autumn (SON)	Winter (DJF)
Mean	1.4	1.1	1.7	1.5	1.3
Standard deviation	0.3	0.3	0.4	0.3	0.3
95th percentile	1.9 [1.8-2.1]	1.6 [1.5-1.8]	2.4 [2.2-2.6]	2.0 [1.8-2.1]	1.9 [1.7-2.1]
5th percentile	0.9 [0.7-1.0]	0.6 [0.5-0.8]	1.0 [0.8-1.2]	1.0 [0.8-1.1]	0.8 [0.6-0.9]

In case of temperature (*Table 7*), all seasonal, as well, as annual temperature increase expected in Hungary is larger than the global 1°C warming, which implies that this region is quite sensitive to the global environmental change. The projected summer and autumn regional warming (1.7° C and 1.5° C, respectively) is larger than the annual increase (1.4° C), while the expected winter (1.3° C) and spring (1.1° C) warming is smaller than the annual temperature increase. According to the results presented in *Table 8* for the precipitation, the annual amount in Hungary is not expected to change significantly. On the other hand, considerable precipitation decrease and increase are projected for summer and for winter, respectively. Slight changes are expected for autumn (some decrease) and for spring (some increase). These results confirm the conclusions drawn from the precipitation maps in the previous section, which implies that the expected shift in the annual distribution of precipitation starts quite early.

Table 8. Statistical characteristics of expected change of precipitation for Hungary relative to 1°C global warming using 25 RCM simulations [11]. In case of percentiles, the values in brackets indicate the 95% confidence intervals.

	Annual	Spring (MAM)	Summer (JJA)	Autumn (SON)	Winter (DJF)
Mean	-0.3	0.9	-8.2	-1.9	9.0
Standard deviation	2.2	3.7	5.3	2.1	3.7
95th percentile	3.4	7.0	0.5	1.5	15.0
-	[2.2-4.6]	[5.0-9.0]	[(-2.3)-(3.2)]	[0.4-2.7]	[13.0-16.9]
5th percentile	-3.9	-5.2	-16.9	-5.3	3.0
	[(-5.1)-(-2.8)]	[(-7.2)-(-3.3)]	[(-19.5)-(-14.1)]	[(-6.4)-(-4.2)]	[1.0-5.0]

On the basis of the results shown in this paper, the following conclusions can be drawn.

- Expected seasonal temperature increase for the Carpathian basin in case of the A2 scenario is larger than in case of the B2 scenario, which is in good agreement with the global and the European climate change results. The largest and the smallest warming is expected in summer and in spring, respectively.
- For all the four seasons and for both scenarios, the expected warming by 2071-2100 is between 2.5°C and 4.8°C. The largest temperature increase is projected for summer, 4.8°C (A2) and 4.0°C (B2), while the smallest seasonal warming is expected in spring, 3.1°C (A2) and 2.5°C (B2). The smallest difference between the A2 and B2 scenarios is projected for spring (0.6°C), while the largest for winter (1°C).
- In the reference period (1961-1990), RCM simulations overestimate the temperature in most of the Carpathian basin, however, small underestimation can be seen in the western and the northeastern boundaries of the selected domain.
- The largest increase of maximum and minimum temperatures is expected in summer for both scenario. In case of maximum temperature, the interval of the expected warming is 4.9-5.3°C (A2) and 4.0-4.4 (B2), while in case of minimum temperature, these intervals are 4.2-4.8°C (A2) and 3.5-4.0°C (B2). In general, the expected increase of maximum temperature is not smaller than the expected increase of minimum temperature, the only exception is winter.
- In summer, zonal structure of projected warming (i.e., increasing values from north to south) can be expected in case of all temperature parameters. On the other hand, in winter, generally a meridional structure of warming is expected (i.e., increasing values from west to east). In spring and autumn, the gradient values are much smaller, they do not exceed 0.4 and 0.3, respectively.
- The annual precipitation sum is not expected to change significantly in this region, but it is not valid for seasonal precipitation sums. Summer precipitation is very likely to decrease, furthermore, slight decrease of autumn precipitation is expected. On the other hand, winter precipitation is likely to increase considerably, and slight increase in spring is also expected.
- The projected summer precipitation decrease is 24-33% (A2) and 10-20% (B2), while the expected winter precipitation increase is 23-37% (A2) and 20-27% (B2).
- In the reference period (1961-1990), the wettest season was summer, while the driest season was winter. If the projections are realized then the annual distribution of precipitation will be totally restructured. Namely, the wettest season will be winter in case of both A2 and B2 scenarios. The driest season will be summer in case of A2 scenario, while autumn in case of B2 scenario.
- In winter and in spring, the spatial structures of expected precipitation change (for both A2 and B2 scenarios) are dominated by radial and zonal gradients, respectively. In summer, zonal structure can be seen in case of A2 scenario, while radial structure is expected in case of B2 scenario.

Acknowledgements. Climate change data have been provided through the PRUDENCE data archive, funded by the EU through contract EVK2-CT2001-00132. Research leading to this paper has been supported by the following sources: the Hungarian Academy of Sciences under the program 2006/TKI/246 titled Adaptation to climate change, the Hungarian National Research Development Program under grants NKFP-3A/082/2004 and NKFP-6/079/2005, the Hungarian National Science Research Foundation under grant T-049824, the Hungarian Academy of Science and the Hungarian Prime Minister's Office under grant 10.025-MeH-IV/3.1/2006, the CECILIA project of the European Union Nr. 6 program.

REFERENCES

- [1] IPCC (2007): Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Working Group I Contribution to the Fourth Assessment Report of the IPCC. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- [2] Fink, A.H., Brücker, T., Krüger, A., Leckebusch, G.C., Pinto, J.G., Ulbrich, U. (2004): The 2003 European summer heatwaves and drought – synoptic diagnostics and impacts. – Weather 59: 209-216.
- [3] Black, E., Blackburn, M., Harrison, G., Hoskins. B.J., Methven, J. (2004): Factors contributing to the summer 2003 European heatwave. Weather 59: 217-223.
- [4] Benestad, R.E. (2005): Climate change scenarios for northern Europe from multi-model IPCC AR4 climate simulations. Geophysical Research Letters 32: L17704, doi:10.1029/2005GL023401.
- [5] Hanssen-Bauer, I., Achberger, C., Benestad, R.E., Chen, D., Førland, E.J. (2005): Statistical downscaling of climate scenarios over Scandinavia: A review. – Climate Research 29: 255-268.
- [6] Tebaldi, C., Hayhoe, K., Arblaster, J.M., Meehl, G.E. (2006): Going to the extremes: an intercomparison of model-simulated historical and future changes in extreme events. Climatic Change 79: 185-211.
- [7] Pal, J.S., Giorgi, F., Bi, X. (2004): Consistency of recent European summer precipitation trends and extremes with future regional climate projections. – Geophysical Research Letters 31: L13202, doi:10.1029/2004GL019836.
- [8] Christensen, O.B., Christensen, J.H. (2004): Intensification of extreme European summer precipitation in a warmer climate. Global and Planetary Change 44: 107-117.
- [9] Mearns, L.O., Hulme, M., Carter, T.R., Leemans, R., Lal, M., Whetton, P.H. (2001): Climate scenario development. – In: Houghton, J. et al. (eds.) Climate Change 2001: The Scientific Basis. Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, Cambridge University Press, New York.
- [10] Giorgi, F. (1990): Simulation of regional climate using a limited area model nested in a general circulation model. Journal of Climate 3: 941-963.
- [11] Christensen, J.H. (2005): Prediction of Regional scenarios and Uncertainties for Defining European Climate change risks and Effects – Final Report. – DMI, Copenhagen.
- [12] Déqué, M., Jones, R.G., Wild, M., Giorgi, F., Christensen, J.H., Hassell, D.C., Vidale, P.L., Rockel, B., Jacob, D., Kjellström, E., de Castro, M., Kucharski, F., van den Hurk, B. (2005): Global high resolution versus Limited Area Model climate change scenarios over Europe: results from the PRUDENCE project. Climate Dynamics 25: 653-670. doi:10.1007/s00382-005-0052-1.
- [13] Bartholy, J., Pongrácz, R., Torma, Cs., Hunyady, A. (2006): Regional climate model PRECIS and its adaptation at the Department of Meteorology, Eötvös Loránd University.
 In: Weidinger, T. (ed.) 31. Meteorological Scientific Days – Dynamical climatological research on objective estimation of regional climate change. Hungarian Meteorological Service, Budapest. 99-114. (in Hungarian)
- [14] Horányi, A. (2006): Dynamical climatological research on regional scales: International and Hungarian review. In: Weidinger, T. (ed.) 31. Meteorological Scientific Days –

Dynamical climatological research on objective estimation of regional climate change. Hungarian Meteorological Service, Budapest. 62-70. (in Hungarian)

- [15] New, M., Hulme, M., Jones P. (1999): Representing twentieth-century space-time climate variability. Part I: Development of a 1961-90 mean monthly terrestrial climatology. – Journal of Climate 12: 829-856.
- [16] Bartholy, J., Pongrácz, R., Matyasovszky, I., Schlanger, V. (2003): Expected regional variations and changes of mean and extreme climatology of Eastern/Central Europe. – In: Combined Preprints CD-ROM of the 83rd AMS Annual Meeting. Paper 4.7, American Meteorological Society. 10p.