MICROBIOLOGICAL QUALITY AND SAFETY ASSESSMENT OF CAMEL MILK (CAMELUS DROMEDARIES) IN SAUDI ARABIA (QASSIM REGION)
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Abstract. The microbiological quality and safety of raw camel milk from different farms in Qassim region (middle Saudi Arabia) were examined. Milk samples (n=33) were aseptically collected from the milking bowls. Samples were analyzed for several microbial quality attributes including aerobic total plate count (ATPC), psychrotrophs (PC), aerobic mesophilic sporeforming bacteria (AMSC), \textit{Enterobacteriaceae}, total coliforms, faecal coliforms and moulds and yeasts. Furthermore, the presence of selected pathogens such as \textit{Staphylococcus aureus} and \textit{Salmonella} was detected. The mean log counts per ml for ATPC, psychrotrophs, aerobic mesophilic spore former, \textit{Enterobacteriaceae}, and moulds and yeasts were 5.0, 3.8, 2.1, 2.7, and 1.9, respectively. Coliform group was found in 45.5% of samples while 12% were faecal coliform positive as revealed by MPN method. \textit{S. aureus} was located in 70% of the samples and the mean count was 2.7 log cfu per ml. Meanwhile, salmonella was detected in 70% of the samples. Results indicate the potential health risk of consuming raw camel milk under the present production conditions.
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Introduction

Nowadays, public health concern associated with microbial food safety has arisen. Numerous epidemiological reports have implicated non-heat treated milk and raw-milk products as the major factors responsible for illnesses caused by food-borne pathogens \cite{9,19}. Cross-contamination with pathogenic microorganisms can gain access to milk either by faecal contamination or by direct excretion from the udder into milk.

Camel meat and milk are the key foods in arid and semi-arid areas of the African and Asian countries, especially in Saudi Arabia. Food Agriculture Organization has reported that more than 18 million camels around the world support the survival of millions of people \cite{14}. Camel milk not only contains more nutrients compared to cow milk \cite{1}, but also it has therapeutic and antimicrobial agents \cite{4,12}. Saudi Arabia produced over one percent of world stocks of camels (425,000 head). In regard to camel milk production, Saudi is globally ranked at the seventh position (89,500 cubic metres) \cite{14}.

In fact, most of camel milk is consumed in the raw state without any heat treatments or acid fermentation and kept at high ambient temperature coupled with lack of refrigeration facilities during milking and transporting. These conditions turn the milk to be unsafe, capable of causing food-borne diseases and it even spoil fast.
In Qassim area, as in many regions around the kingdom, camel milk is produced in traditional way by hand milking, handled and transported under low hygienic measures. However, there is no reports documented any outbreak related to unpasteurized (raw) camel milk. Furthermore, there is a limited data on the microbial assessment of raw camel milk [2, 29, 5]. Furthermore, in view of its health benefits, there is a fast growing demand for raw camel milk in Saudi Arabia and further it is expected to be introduced as a new functional food in the European market. Therefore, there is a high necessity to find out about the present hygienic situation regarding the raw camel milk in Qassim area.

The aim of the present study were (1) to assess the microbial quality of raw camel milk in Saudi Arabia (Qassim area) using several microbial quality attributes including aerobic total plate count, aerobic mesophilic spore count, psychrotrophic count, and moulds and yeasts (2) to study the prevalence of a variety of indicator organisms (Nitrobacteria, and total and faecal coliforms) and food-borne pathogens, with reference to *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Salmonella* spp.

**Materials and methods**

**Milk samples**

Between February and May 2005, a total of thirty-three-bulk camel milk samples were collected from different locations in Qassim area (middle Saudi Arabia). Milk was collected from camels by hand milking as normally practiced by the farmers in except of the experimental station of animal production of the college of agriculture and veterinary medicine, Qassim University, which introduced the mechanical milking of camels. The samples were collected in sterile screw bottles kept in cool boxes until transported to the laboratory. The samples were analyzed within 24 h.

**Microbiological analysis**

Milk samples (25 ml) were diluted in buffered peptone saline (225 ml, 0.5% w/v; peptone; 0.85% w/v; NaCl), mixed in stomacher bag and stomached in Seward stomacher (Seward 400, England) for 2 minutes. In order to quantify the various microbial groups, appropriate dilutions were surface plated. Aerobic total plate count (ATPC) was carried out on plate count agar (PCA), incubated at 32°C for 72h [23]. For aerobic mesophilic spore count (AMSC), the milk was heat-shocked at 80°C for 10 min to destroy vegetative cells. After being cooled in an ice bath, the milk was immediately plated on plate count agar and incubated at 32 °C for 48h [23]. Psychrotrophic count (PC) was performed by incubation of appropriate dilutions on PCA kept at 7°C for 10 d [23].

For enumeration of members of the family of *Enterobacteriaceae*, eosine methylene blue agar (modified) Levine (EMB) was used (35°C for 24h). Total and faecal coliforms were determined by MPN method according to US standard method [15]. The enumeration of moulds and yeasts was done on potato dextrose agar (PDA) acidified by lactic acid 10% (Oxoid, SR21).

*Staphylococcus aureus* was enumerated on Baird Parker agar supplemented with egg yolk enrichment at 37°C for 48h. Black shiny colonies surrounded by hello zone were
examined microscopically and tested for catalase, coagulase and staphylase production using Oxoid reagents according to the manufacturer’s instructions. 

*Salmonella* spp. was detected as it is previously described by Andrews and Jacobson [3]. A portion of 25 ml of milk was pre-enriched in 225 ml of buffered peptone water at 37°C for 24h. Then, 1 ml of pre-enrichment sample was incubated in 10 ml cystine selenite broth and Rappaport-Vassiliadis broth at 37°C for 24h. Selective enrichments were then streaked onto bismuth sulphite, xylose lysine desoxycholate (XLD) and Hekton enreic agars. All selective media were incubated at 37°C for 24h. Typical colonies were examined by microscope, characteristics of growth on lysine iron agar, negative of urease production and then tested with *Salmonella* polyvalent O antiserum (Salmonella latex test, Oxoid FT0203). Isolates with typical reactions for salmonella were then confirmed by using API 20E identification kit (BioMérieux, France). Unless otherwise stated, all the media and supplements used throughout the present study were purchased from Oxoid (Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England)

**Statistical analysis**

Descriptive and correlation analysis between the different microbial parameters were performed using SPSS software (Version 10, SPSS Inc., Chicago)

**Results and discussions**

The presence of the various microbial groups found in raw camel milk from the Qassim area is presented in *(Figure 1 and Table 1.)* The profile of total aerobic mesophilic bacteria in milk samples is shown in *(Fig. 1A).* The mean of TAPC in collected samples was 5 log cfu/ml with a maximum of 7.15 log cfu/ml *(Table 1).* These results are in agreement with those reported for Saudi (i.e., 5.4 log cfu/ml in average) and Ethiopian (i.e. 5.6 log cfu/ml in average) camel milk by Al Mohizea [2] and Semereab and Molla [29], respectively. It is worth to mention that there are no microbiological standards concerning camel milk. Therefore, the microbiological limit values for cow milk was used to assess the quality of camel milk. In our study, 54.5% (n=18) of ATPC results were within the accepted limits (5.3-5.6 log cfu/ml) of APHA [23] and Directive 92/46/EEC [10].

The count of psychrotrophic bacteria was varied between samples. Approximately 30% of the samples had a psychrotrophic count (PC) of $\approx 1$ log cfu/ml, with a mean value of 3.8 log cfu/ml while the maximum was 6.82 log cfu/ml *(Fig 1B and Table 1).* The results of psychrophots are comparable with average counts (3.3-3.7 log cfu/ml) reported for raw cow milk by Boor et al., [6] and Chye et al., [7]. Further, no information in the literature documented the content of psychrotrophs in camel milk. Psychrophilic bacteria are responsible for an increased production of proteinases and lipases, which can survive heat treatments (i.e. pasteurization) thus affecting the shelf-life and quality of milk [18].

In terms of residual spore forming bacteria, approximately 60% of the samples had >50 aerobic mesophilic spore-formers/ml, with mean value of 2.1 log cfu/ml *(Fig 1C and Table 1).* These results are full within the ranges (i.e., 1.7 log cfu/ml as a mean) for cow’s milk found by Boor et al. [6]. No data in the in the literature reported the level of this group of organisms in raw camel’s milk. Spore-forming bacteria are known to, apart from causing spoilage, cause food-poisoning by producing heat labile enterotoxins [13, 28].
Yeasts and moulds were only detected in 19 samples (57%) with the mean and maximum values of 1.9 and 5.65 log cfu/ml, respectively (Fig 1D and Table 1). The yeast and moulds content in Moroccan camel's milk was found to be high with an average raised to 4.6 log cfu/ml [5]. In agreement with our results it is reported that the high counts of yeast and moulds in milk is rather uncommon as a result of natural milk pH, causing bacteria to predominate [16, 27].
Table 1. Selected statistical values (log cfu/ml) of different microbial parameters detected in raw camel’s milk.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbial parameter</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total aerobic plate counts</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>7.15</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Psychrotrophic counts</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerobic mesophilic spore formers</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>0.98</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterobacteriaceae</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>6.82</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total coliforms</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yeast and moulds</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.93</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staphylococcus aureus</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.29</td>
<td>6.72</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Enterobacteriaceae were detected in 18 samples (54.5%). The mean count value of EMB plates was 2.7 log cfu/ml, with a maximum of 6.82 log cfu/ml (Fig 1E and Table 1). The total coliform determined by MPN technique showed a positive result in 15 samples (45.5%) with a maximum value of 4.2 log cfu/ml (Fig 1E). Out of total coliforms positive samples (15), only four samples were positive for faecal coliforms which identified as E. coli by the growth on MacConkey plates and IMViC tests. The occurrence of total coliforms, in our study, was much lower than reported for Ethiopian raw camel’s milk (100%) by Semereab and Molla [29]. Further, Benkerroum et al. [5] demonstrated high total coliforms counts for Moroccan camel’s milk (i.e., 6.8 log cfu/ml in average). In our study, six samples (18%) were over the coliform limits fixed by the EC regulations for raw cow milk [10]. The Enterobacteriaceae family has earned a reputation placing them among the most pathogenic and most often encountered organisms in food. Enterobacteriaceae family includes coliform group (Escherichia, Enterobacter, Citrobacter and Klebsiella) in addition to many other genera (Salmonella, Shigella, Morganella, Providencia, Edwardseilla, Proteus, Serratia and Yersinia) which are isolated from animal intestine [8, 20]. The existence of coliform bacteria may not necessary indicate a direct faecal contamination of milk, but precisely as an indicator for poor sanitary practices during milking and further handling processes. Moreover, the presence of faecal coliforms, i.e. E. coli implies a risk that other enteric pathogens may be present in the sample.

Nearly 70% (n=23) of the collected samples were contaminated by S. aureus, with a mean count of 2.74 log cfu/ml, while the highest level of contamination reached to 6.72 log cfu/ml (Fig 1F and Table 1). The existence rate of S. aureus, in the present study, was relatively high, however, the organism has been detected in all tested samples (n=12) in Morracan camel milk [5] with an average of 5.1 log cfu/ml. Semereab and Molla [29] reported that S. aureus isolates represent 15% of the total bacteria isolated from composite camel udder milk. The incidence of mastitis in camel herds (19.5%) and the high frequency of S. aureus (31.5%) as the casual agent may explain these results [25]. According to the EC standards for raw cow’s milk intended for direct consumption [10], 51% (n=17) of the samples were found to have S. aureus counts higher than the fixed limit (2.7 log cfu/ml). An overview of the annual reports of food-borne diseases from seven countries indicated that milk and milk products implicated in 1-5% of the total bacterial outbreaks. S. aureus was by far the most frequent pathogen associated with these outbreaks (85.5%), followed by Salmonella (10%) [9].

The incidence of Salmonella spp. was high as 8 (24%) out of 33 milk samples were found to be positive for this organism. The reported isolation rate of this organism for raw cow milk was found to be within the range of 3-9% [22]. However, sixteen percent
of organ and faecal samples collected from healthy slaughtered camels were positive for *Salmonella* spp. [24]. Moreover, Huston et al. [21] reported that in 31% of the study dairy herds was shedding *Salmonella* spp.

*Salmonella* spp., are an infrequent cause of mastitis in dairy animals but several species of *Salmonella* have documented to colonize udders and shed at levels of up to 2000 cells/ml [17]. In addition, camel herds rarely benefit from veterinary care [25] with lack of using appropriate sanitizers between milking intervals, which could enhance the microbial colonization. These organisms pose a health risk to consumer if milk is consumed without any heat treatment. De Buyser et al. [9] reported that *Salmonella* spp is one of the most etiologic agents responsible for several outbreaks associated with the consumption of raw milk and milk products.

In the present study, the correlation analysis between pairs of different microbiological parameters was conducted in order to evaluate the correlate degree among it. The results showed no correlation coefficients above 0.8. The highest positive correlation were found between ATPC and enterobacterial counts (0.77), ATPC and total coliforms (0.73), enterobacterial counts and total coliforms (0.668), ATPC and PC (0.58) and PC and aerobic mesophilic spore counts (AMSC, 0.544). All other correlation coefficients were below 0.5.

The correlation value between ATPC and PC was low compared with those (i.e., 0.74 in average) reported by Peeler el al. [26] and Boor et al. [6], but a weak correlation (0.42) was found by Chye et al. [7]. It is suggested that these results might be affected by the differences between the climatic conditions between the countries involved in those studies and consequently reflected on the PC levels. The good correlation between PS and AMSC established in our study indicates the possibility of the wide spread of psychrotrophic *Bacillus* spp. These organisms have the ability to survive the pasteurization, grow secreted enzymes or metabolites and affected the milk quality during the cold storage. Correlations between ATPC and total coliforms (0.74), ATPC and faecal coliforms (0.38) and total coliforms and faecal coliforms (0.66) suggest that the contamination is likely to be not originated from faecal origin.

**Conclusion**

The outcome of the present results suggests that approximately 50% of the examined raw camel milk samples were produced and handled under poor hygienic conditions with high health risk to the consumers. Based on these findings, it is strongly recommended that large-scale research studies regarding the quality of raw camel milk, milking protocols and sanitizing programs should be conducted. Such studies will help to understand the behavioural risk factors associated with raw milk production, consumption and that educational programs will be developed to address issues connected to consumption of raw camel milk. The characteristics and especially the behaviour of isolated microorganisms show that the pathogens must be studied to explore the cycle of contamination and how these organisms are able to survive under severely arid conditions.
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