REVEGETATION OF FLY ASH – A REVIEW WITH EMPHASIS ON GRASS-LEGUME PLANTATION AND BIOACCUMULATION OF METALS
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Abstract. Uninterrupted generation of fly ash by the coal based thermal power plants and its dumping has lead to steady encroachment of useful land in India. The deleterious effects of fly ash on the nearby environment are inevitable due to its fine texture and presence of toxic metals. Thus, proper revegetation programme of the sites are highly desirable due to their continuance in being the part of landscape. This paper conglomerates all the issues which should be taken into account to prevent groundwater contamination and increase phytostabilization of metals. An insight to the past and the prevailing restoration scenario will help in selecting of plant species for biomass production. Primarily, an integrated approach towards revegetation is necessary which comprises native and exotic grass-legume species, readily available composts, green manure, and mulches. The paucity of studies in relation to the long term changes in fly ash due to vegetation is to be permeated through regular analysis of substrate nutrient status, extent of nutrient loss, bioavailable toxic metals, in restoration sites. The range of methodologies and indexes discussed here will benefit the future management approaches of fly ash with emphasis on phytoremediation of trace metals, development of aesthetically pleasant landscape and productivity.
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Introduction

Coal based thermal power plants generate fly ash (FA) as the main industrial waste product, approximately 70 – 75% (Belyaeva and Haynes, 2012) and it has been recognized as an environmental hazard across the globe. India ranks third among the list of countries which generate high amount of FA, namely China, United States, Europe, South Africa, Australia, Japan, Italy, and Greece (Ram et al., 2008). The total capacity of TPPs in India had been 110232 MW at the end of 2012 and it has been estimated that an extra capacity of 53400 MW is likely to be added by 2017. Conceding the fact that 1MW thermal power results in production of 1800t of ash FA generation is likely to surpass 300mt by the year 2017 (end of 12th plan). In this context moderate utilization of FA has been marked by various industries while the rest is disposed on sites (landfills, FA basins and abandoned mines near TPPs) encroaching a land area of more than 40000 Ha (Jain and Gaggar, 2013). Moreover disposal in slurry form requires 1040 Mm3 of water annually which is an extra consumption of water resources (Paliwal, 2013). This dumping activity has deleterious effects and contaminates nearby aquatic and terrestrial ecosystems. During summers, strong winds lead to blowing of fine FA
particles into atmosphere over long distances causing health hazards. Air blown particles <10 µm size remain suspended in the air for a long time often leading to atmosphere invisibility. Local people residing in nearby villages of the thermal power plants have been found to suffer from cancer, heart disease, genetic and respiratory disorders (USEPA, 2007). Moreover, Bryan et al. (2012) studied the effects of FA on birds nesting around coal FA basins and observed adverse results due to accumulation of Se, As, Cd, and Sr in their offspring.

FA management is therefore an important environmental perspective which requires management of mainly 4 aspects: (1) a safe FA disposal method (2) land requirement (3) control of air pollution by suspended particulate matter and (4) control of soil and water pollution through curbing movement of heavy metals into ground water and through food chain. The third and fourth constraints can be managed through vegetation establishment. Phytomanagement is the most cost-effective and eco-friendly approach as it would stabilize the ash dumps, oversee wind and water erosion and incur gradual restoration of the site. Several other functions include reduction in leaching of water and solutes, stabilization or bioaccumulation of metals, carbon sequestration in ash soil–plant system and creation of shelter for wildlife. Furthermore proper rehabilitation programmes also serve the purpose of generation of bio-resource for local villagers and thus elevate their socio-economic status. Thirdly these would help in buildup of an aesthetically pleasing landscape and places for tourist attraction (Belyaeva and Haynes, 2012; Pandey, 2012b). In a nutshell, an engineered sustainable ecosystem can be developed with the help of tolerant plant species which would also alleviate the problems.

The initial cover development is generally done by establishment of grasses and legumes. Grass-legume cover has become the most efficient choice as they can readily colonize the area and develop a thick vegetation mat in a short period of time. Consecutively it enhances, fertility of the area, curtail erosion, air pollution and also phytoremediates the metal contaminated substrate. This paves the pathway for future long term management and gradual restoration of the site. Inspite of this choice, revegetation of existent abandoned fly ash dumps, landfills and also those which are being created require prior consideration of various factors. These are the characteristics of the material, its effect on the nearby ecosystem and probable future effects. This paper addresses all these crucial issues which should be considered before revegetation. Further, retrospection of the earlier studies done here will also enlighten the research needs and paradigms which should be focused. A special emphasis has been given to the bioaccumulation of metals by the various plant species and various indexes to conjecture metal pollution level. Conglomeration of all this information in this paper would help in selection of the best strategy for restoration of fly ash dumps for long term management.

**Fly ash utilization and disposal**

The high rate of FA generation in India is due to the fact that Indian coal has very high ash content (35–45%) and is of lower quality (Mathur et al., 2003). Albeit thermal power producers are now exploring methods for 100% FA utilization, a target set by environment ministry, but with a slow success according to a report by Central Electricity Authority, 2012. 163.56 million ton of ash was produced in India in the year 2012-13 and corresponding utilization amounted to 63% which is
branched in cement sector (41.33%), reclamation of low lying areas (11.83%), roads and embankments (6.02%), mine filling (10.34%), bricks and tiles (9.98%), agriculture (2.5%), and others (6.41%) (Figure 1) (Central Electricity Authority, 2012). FA has been extensively used as amendments in agricultural soils to boost crop growth and yield for example in Lettuce (Lau and Wong, 2001); Zea mays, Medicago sativa, Phaseolus vulgaris (Wong and Wong, 1989); Brassica parachinensis and Brassica chinensis (Wong and Wong, 1990); Brassica oleracea (Kim et al., 1997); Brassica campestris (Jayasinghe and Tokashiki, 2012); Oryza sativa (Lee et al., 2006) and many more. Nevertheless, utilization of FA for agricultural purposes is not always beneficial for crops. Further in a study carried by Singh et al., 2008 it was recommended not to grow green leafy vegetables with FA as an amendment. In the experiment it was observed that metal pollution index (MPI) of both roots and shoots of B. vulgaris plants was showing significant negative relationships with the yield. Although earlier reports show that small application of FA as amendment can bring success and proves it as an efficient additive but the carryover of toxic metals from FA to plants may produce hazardous effects in the long run or in future and also in the higher trophic levels of the food chain (Singh et al., 2008). Therefore use of FA in agriculture has to take into account the possible toxic effects of toxic heavy metals which may be present. As per the data acquired, country wise FA generation and utilization of 5 nations in the world scenario is also shown in Figure 2 (Ram and Masto, 2014). The worldwide average utilization of FA is only about 25% (Wang, 2008). A huge amount of FA, approximately 63 million tonnes was dumped in India even after its utilization in major sectors (Central Electricity Authority, 2012). FA is disposed off in two ways, i.e. dry disposal in ash mounds and wet disposal in ash ponds in the form of slurry. Dry disposal incurs air pollution by blowing of fine particles by wind. It has also been reported that power plants are missing the mark of proper disposal of FA as stated by the ministry (Central Electricity Authority, 2012).

![Figure 1. Fly ash utilization in Indian scenario, in different sectors/industries in the year 2012-2013. Modified from Central Electricity Authority, 2012.]
From all these information it is evident that a major part of the FA is unutilized and needs urgent strategies for potential utilization. FA utilization in backfilling would be of some help in this case but is still in infancy. The next major area for FA utilization apart from construction is in biomass production which covers agriculture, forestry, and floriculture. FA has been used as an amendment for clay soil (Adriano et al., 1980) while alkaline type of FA has proven to be useful in agriculture for neutralizing acidic soils (Taylor and Schuman, 1988) thus facilitating revegetation of degraded lands. Very few economically important trees such as pulp and paper tree, biodiesel crops, firewood, timber wood and plywood trees are being grown in forestry. There are several issues responsible for mismanagement in utilization of FA, which includes lack of awareness, regulation, and easy availability of land. Thus a challenge stands at the forefront towards a sound management of FA and its utilization as it will save precious topsoil; reduce land requirement, degradation, and water consumption as well as quality.

Figure 2. Fly ash generation and utilization in different countries in the world. Modified from Ram and Masto, 2014.

Fly ash characteristics and constraints in vegetation establishment

Physico-chemical properties

The prevalent factors which influence mineralogical, physical and chemical properties of FA are nature of parent coal, the conditions of combustion, type of emission control devices and storage or handling methods. Higher temperature during combustion may lead to volatilization of many mineral elements. FA is generally a residue after burning of coal and also enters flue gas stream. It consists of glass-like spherical particles ranging in size from 0.01 to 100 mm (Pandey et al., 2009b) which can be easily airborne (El-Mogazi et al., 1988). Some physical properties of FA are shown in Table 1 and have been compared to the natural soil. The glass-like spherical particles are hollow spheres called cenospheres, as shown in Figure 3 and may be filled with smaller amorphous crystals called pelospheres. Some authors have also considered
FA to be predominantly composed of ferroaluminosilicate elements containing both amorphous and crystalline phases. The smaller particle size increases the specific surface area in the range from 2500 - 4000 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$ (Alonso and Wesche, 1991) which further explains its high sorption capacity. Therefore FA is used as a sorbent to clean flue gas of SOx, NOx, toluene vapors and wastewater of Cu, Pb, Cd, Ni, Zn, Cr, Hg, As, Cs, F, B, dyes and pigments (El-Mogazi et al., 1988). Various studies done in literature have also shown the capability of FA to be used as zeolite for treatment of metal contaminated water (Prasad and Mortimer, 2011). Specific gravity of FA ranges from 2.1 - 2.6 g cm$^{-3}$ and has a low to medium bulk density. It is generally observed to be whitish or yellow-orange to deep red or black-grey in color which depends on iron oxide and carbon contents. LOI (loss on ignition) can range from 0.5 to 12% which corresponds to the unburnt coal content in FA (Alonso and Wesche, 1991). FA is generally of silt loam texture (Nyambura et al., 2011) and is of finer quality if produced from bituminous coal when compared to lignite coal. Size of particles present in FA also impacts its chemical composition which generally contains oxides, hydroxides, carbonates, silicates, and sulfates of calcium, iron, aluminium, and other metals in trace amounts (Adriano et al., 1980) (Table 2).

Table 1. Physical properties of fly ash and natural soil.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Fly ash$^a$</th>
<th>Soil$^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Particle diameter</td>
<td>0.01 - 100 µm</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Texture</td>
<td>Silt loam</td>
<td>Sandy-clayey-silty loam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific surface area</td>
<td>2500 to 4000 cm$^2$ g$^{-1}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Specific gravity</td>
<td>1.6 - 2.6 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>2.5 - 2.8 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulk density</td>
<td>0.9 - 1.3 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
<td>1.3 - 1.8 g cm$^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water holding capacity</td>
<td>40 – 60 %</td>
<td>40 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Color</td>
<td>White/yellow-orange/black</td>
<td>Yellow/orange-brown/black</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$El-Mogazi et al., 1988; Nyambura et al., 2011; Alonso and Wesche, 1991
$^b$Kabata-Pendias and Sadurski (2004)

Table 2. Chemical properties of FA from lignite, bituminous as well as anthracite coal (Ram and Masto, 2010).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>Lignite ash</th>
<th>Bituminous/sub bituminous ash</th>
<th>Anthracite ash</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>11.00</td>
<td>4.50 – 11.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SiO$_2$ (%)</td>
<td>48.40 ± 0.99</td>
<td>38.0 – 63.0</td>
<td>51.7 – 54.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al$_2$O$_3$ (%)</td>
<td>29.80 ± 0.81</td>
<td>27.0 – 44.0</td>
<td>21.5 – 23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe$_2$O$_3$ (%)</td>
<td>5.40 ± 0.68</td>
<td>3.3 – 6.4</td>
<td>6.09 – 7.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CaO (%)</td>
<td>7.90 ± 0.53</td>
<td>0.2 – 0.8</td>
<td>0.29 – 0.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MgO (%)</td>
<td>2.60 ± 0.30</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.5</td>
<td>0.92 – 1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K$_2$O (%)</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.04 – 0.9</td>
<td>2.80 – 2.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na$_2$O (%)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.07 – 0.43</td>
<td>0.22 – 0.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SO$_3$ (%)</td>
<td>2.80 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.16</td>
<td>0.05 – 0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P$_2$O$_5$ (%)</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TiO$_2$ (%)</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.09</td>
<td>0.4 – 1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LOI (%)</td>
<td>5.70 ± 0.22</td>
<td>0.2 – 3.4</td>
<td>9.55 – 12.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$^-$ (%)</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NO$_3$ (%)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The pH of FA varies widely from 4.5 to 11.0 and mainly depends on S and CaO content of the parent coal (Ram and Masto, 2010) (Table 2). Anthracite coals of eastern U.S. produce acidic ashes and lignite coal of western U.S. produce alkaline ashes (Page et al., 1979). It has high moisture retention capacity and low electrical conductivity (EC); and lower cation exchange capacity (CEC) than normal soil. Different types of coal such as anthracite, bituminous, sub-bituminous (class F FA containing less than 7% CaO, high S, low pH) and lignite (class C FA containing up to 30% CaO, low S, high pH) produce ashes of different compositions as shown in Table 2 (Wang and Wu, 2006). XRD analysis of FA contributes direct information about the mineralogical composition of the FA sample as shown in Table 2. It is based on the principle that each crystalline compound produces a unique diffraction pattern.

Phase identifications are done by comparing the diffraction patterns to a database of pure phase reference patterns (Stutzxna and Centeno, 1995). Lignite ash has high SiO₂, CaO, MgO, Al₂O₃, and SO₃ in compared to others whereas anthracite ash has high SiO₂, Al₂O₃, with a considerable amount of K₂O. In humid conditions weathering may take place on the stored ash in landfills or dumps and solubilise constituents which get leached (Adriano et al., 1980). Leaching of the salt compounds and metals may contaminate the ground water in a long term scenario. Therefore, disposal to ash should be accompanied by prior application of geoliners on the surface of landfills or mines.

**Elemental composition**

There exists a wide variation in elemental composition of fly ashes and usually contain considerable amounts of plant nutrients (such as Ca, K, and Mg) when compared to soils, and as shown in Table 3. Various cations in FA are present in the form of oxides, hydroxides, carbonates and bicarbonates which dissolve at different rates (Ulery et al., 1993) and their availability depends on the pH of the system and its microbial activity during gradual plant growth on the substrate. Micronutrients such as Cu, Fe, Mn, Mo, Zn, B are also present in similar quantities as in soils and constitute a considerable pool for nutrient source for plants. Phosphorus concentration in FA is quite high compared to soils in some cases; however it is generally present in unavailable forms which are unusable by the plant (Page et al., 1979). P mostly remains occluded in aluminosilicates or is present in the form of weakly soluble aluminum phosphate (Erich, 1991). Similarly, FA also lacks nitrogen supply which is an essential constituent for
plant growth. Tripathi et al., 2008 showed the presence of 0.676% total nitrogen in FA when compared to soil which had 1.2% nitrogen. Initial establishment of vegetation on FA sites would require high rate of fertilizer application. During gradual succession of vegetation the available nitrogen in the FA landfills increase at a steady rate. This has also been reported in various studies (Pandey et al., 2014, 2015).

Besides micronutrients various toxic metals such as Cd, Pb, Ni, Se, and Hg are also present in FA and may enter food chain through vegetable crops growing on it. A study by Patra et al., 2012a through particle induced X-ray emission spectroscopic technique confirmed that K, Ca, Ti, Fe are present as major elements in FA samples while V, Cr, Mn, Co, Ni, Cu, Zn, As, Se, Rb, Sr and Pb are present in trace amounts. Dumping of FA on land contaminates soil and water through the presence of potentially toxic elements mostly in water soluble form. High levels of pH and toxic metals, lack of microbial activity as well as natural compaction of FA particles inhibits water infiltration and root growth and this restricts vegetation establishment to some extent (Haynes, 2009). Heavy metals induce oxidative stress within plant systems leading to production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide radicals (O$_2^-$), hydroxyl radicals (•OH) and hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$). These ROS readily react with lipids and proteins leading to cellular damage (Pandey et al., 2010; Sinha and Gupta, 2005). Plants can also encounter ROS through various enzymatic and non-enzymatic defense systems which involve production of cysteine (Grill et al., 1991). Revegetation with efficient FA tolerant plants ensures faster stabilization of the area. Plant tolerance to these stresses can be examined through analysis of a group of enzymes or compounds and thus candidate species for the phytoremediation of FA landfills can be identified.

**Plant species used for revegetation**

Establishment of a vegetation cover on FA basins helps to increase organic matter content of the substrate through inputs of litter and fine roots. Organic matter is further decomposed and mineralized by microbial communities through enzyme activities and this regulates nutrient cycling.

**Fly ash tolerant, native and non-native species**

Rehabilitation programmes should be designed in such a way to conjointly incur both ecological restoration of sites and also involve significant economical outputs. This can be done through an effective eco-engineering technology which would constitute the selection of both native invaders and economically useful species as primary and secondary colonizers. Natural succession on FA basins at regional scale has been studied at different parts of the world. Native species have inherent adaptability to resist adverse conditions, increase soil fertility, have faster establishment and provide a sustainable micro-climate for establishment of commercially useful species. This in turn brings out an economical value from the rehabilitation programs (Pandey and Singh, 2011). Recently, Pandey et al., 2014 and Zolnierz et al., 2016 have enumerated various naturally growing species on FA deposits at during initial colonisation and 11 years after vegetation establishment. Some examples of naturally growing species are *Saccharum munja* (Pandey et al., 2012); non-nodulated species *Cassia siamea Lamk*; nodulated species of chickpea (Pandey et al., 2010) and *Pteris vittata weredone*, a fern, (Srivastava et al., 2005). *S. munja* has been called as an “ecological engineer” due to properties such as; firm ash–soil binding capability and stabilizes the ash dump surface.
This indirectly controls suspended particulate matter generation in the air. It is also useful in various rural applications and is thus economically viable. Some naturally colonizing species over FA dump and those used for pot scale studies along with their metal accumulation tendencies have been enumerated in Table 4. Pandey (2013) suggested *Ricinus communis* L., naturally growing on FA land fill sites to be a suitable plant species for revegetation in tropical and sub-tropical regions. Apart from being an industrially valuable oil yielding crop, it has the properties of metal accumulation and stabilization in root parts. Moreover it is unpalatable in nature and also provides benefits such as carbon sequestration, substrate quality enhancement, aesthetically pleasant landscape, and biodiversity conservation. Ferns like *P.vittata* L., *Ampelopteris prolifera* (Retz.) Copel., *Diplazium esculentum* (Retz.) Sw. and *Thelypteris dentata* (Forsk.) E. St. John also grow well on FA and does not show toxicity symptoms from heavy metals which is unavoidable in case of crop plants (Haynes, 2009). Kumari et al., 2013 reported that the fern *T. dentata* has high tolerance potential against heavy metals in FA and can be used efficiently to revegetate/stabilize FA landfills.

Studies have been carried out to revegetate FA disposal sites with tree species selected on the basis of economic importance which would fulfill wood demands of forest based industries. *Leucaena leucocephala, Dendrocalamus strictus* and *Eucalyptus* sp. established on FA dumpsites may be used in pulp and paper industry (Pandey et al., 2009b). Some timber and plywood yielding plants have also been used successfully in studies are *Shorea robusta, Tectona grandis, D. sissoo, Bombex ceiba, Populus euphratica* and *Eucalyptus tereticornis* (Juwarkar and Jambhulkar, 2008; Ram et al., 2008). Fuel wood tree species recommended by some workers, such as *Albizia lebbek, Acacia auriculiformis, Acacia nilotica, Casuarina equisetifolia, Cassia siamea, Prosopis juliflora* and *Dalbergia sissoo* have nitrogen fixing characteristics, excellent growth characteristics in nutrient poor conditions in addition to their economic importance. Trees which yield both fuel as well plywood are *Tamarindus indica, Melia azedarach, Populus deltoides, Eucalyptus hybrid, Eucalyptus globulus* and *Syzigium cumini* (Pandey et al., 2009b). In a study by Carlson and Adriano (1991) it was depicted that a new ecosystem can been created on alkaline and acidic FA dump sites with *Platanus occidentalis* (Sycamore) and *Liquidambar styraciflua, (Sweetgum)* which are important timber trees. Gradual development of a productive forest ecosystem also provides habitat for biotic communities, establishes food-chain trophic levels and biogeochemical cycles. Despite of the useful properties of this tree species initial establishment in the harsh conditions of fly ash is a tedious process. Therefore, strategies such as additions of amendments, spreading of grass legume fodder seeds, forage legumes, tuft or hardy grasses are an efficient choice.

They help in development of a vegetation mat in a small period of time when compared to the tree species. Moreover, a reclaimed site can then be used as per the destined land use.
Table 3. Ranges in elemental composition of fly ash, soil, farm yard manure, plants in India and worldwide along with maximum allowable concentrations (MAC) for trace metals in soils and plants.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Soil⁷</th>
<th>Fly ash</th>
<th>FYM⁴</th>
<th>MAC⁵</th>
<th>Plants¹</th>
<th>MAC for plants⁶</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Range</td>
<td>Total</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Available</td>
<td>Worldwide</td>
<td>FYM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>7 - 550</td>
<td>10 - 290</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10 - 15</td>
<td>0.31 - 36.6</td>
<td>3040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Al</td>
<td>40 - 300</td>
<td>1 - 17.3</td>
<td>4.8 - 312</td>
<td>0.1 - 822</td>
<td>0.5 - 108.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ca</td>
<td>7 - 500</td>
<td>1.1 - 222</td>
<td>0.029 - 34</td>
<td>460 - 4400</td>
<td>1.84 - 86.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg</td>
<td>0.6 - 6</td>
<td>0.4 - 76</td>
<td>0.017 - 1.4</td>
<td>0.8 - 179</td>
<td>0.02 - 11.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K</td>
<td>0.4 - 30</td>
<td>1.5 - 35</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>32 - 8900</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>2 - 100</td>
<td>10 - 618</td>
<td>17 - 38</td>
<td>0.5 - 3</td>
<td>0.4 - 50</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>100 - 4000</td>
<td>58 - 3000</td>
<td>500 - 739</td>
<td>0.9 - 19</td>
<td>100 - 679</td>
<td>53.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.05 - 2</td>
<td>0.4 - 8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>1 - 40</td>
<td>7 - 520</td>
<td>21.1 - 58</td>
<td>0.05 - 0.15</td>
<td>7 - 26</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>2 - 100</td>
<td>14 - 2800</td>
<td>40 - 80</td>
<td>0.5 - 11</td>
<td>19 - 57</td>
<td>44.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>10 - 300</td>
<td>10 - 3500</td>
<td>52 - 203</td>
<td>0.4 - 4.6</td>
<td>39 - 167</td>
<td>24.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>0.2 - 5.0</td>
<td>7 - 160</td>
<td>4.0 - 33.3</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.6</td>
<td>3 - 4.2</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>10 - 1000</td>
<td>6 - 4300</td>
<td>50 - 204.8</td>
<td>0.15 - 3</td>
<td>15 - 88</td>
<td>39.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.1 - 2</td>
<td>0.2 - 134</td>
<td>0.6 - 2.6</td>
<td>0.1 - 0.4</td>
<td>8 - 10</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.1 - 40</td>
<td>2 - 6300</td>
<td>1 - 4</td>
<td>0.1 - 16</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.5</td>
<td>0.7 - 130</td>
<td>5 - 43</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.07</td>
<td>0.03 - 1.3</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>5 - 3000</td>
<td>10 - 1000</td>
<td>38.2 - 330</td>
<td>0.3 - 1.3</td>
<td>15 - 148</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>Up to 1</td>
<td>0.02 - 1.0</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>0.18 - 0.4</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>2 - 100</td>
<td>3 - 5000</td>
<td>20 - 70</td>
<td>&lt;0.1</td>
<td>16 - 97</td>
<td>&lt;0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

⁷: Not available, FYM: farmyard manure; BDL: below detection limit.

Table 4. List of plant species found growing efficiently on fly ash dumpsites as well pot studies alongwith the detailed description of the, BAF, BCF and TFs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Place</th>
<th>Native/ introduced</th>
<th>Metals studied and technology involved</th>
<th>Experimental setup/ treatment used</th>
<th>Periods of plant harvest</th>
<th>Plant part and bioaccumulation of metals</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T. dentata</td>
<td>Lucknow UP, India</td>
<td>Native fern</td>
<td>Fe, Si, As, Cd, Pb; phytostabilization</td>
<td>Grown in pots 25, 50, 75 and 100% FA treatments</td>
<td>30 and 45 days</td>
<td>BAF values of Si, As, Cd and Pb was &gt;1; TF&lt;1 except for Pb (1.16) and As (1.07) in 25% and 100% FA respectively</td>
<td>Kumari et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R. communis L.</td>
<td>India</td>
<td>Native, Bio-energy crop</td>
<td>Cu, Ni, Zn, Cd, Pb; phytostabilization</td>
<td>Growing naturally in FA landfill sites</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Conc in R&gt;S</td>
<td>Pandey, 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. munja</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh, India</td>
<td>Native grass</td>
<td>Fe, Cd, Cr, Cu, Mn, Ni, Pb, Zn; phytostabilization and phytoextraction for Fe, Mn</td>
<td>Growing naturally in FA lagoons</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>All BAF values in R and L&lt;1 (excluder species); TF = 0.6 - 1.52 except Mn, Fe; excluding order= Zn&gt;Cd&gt;Cr&gt;Ni&gt;Pb&gt;Cu</td>
<td>Pandey et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Azolla caroliniana</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh, India</td>
<td>Native fern</td>
<td>Cu, Pb, Mn, Ni, Zn, Cr, Cd, Fe; phytostabilization</td>
<td>Ferns growing naturally on FA ponds</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Metal in R were 175 to 538 mg kg⁻¹ and in S was 86 to 753 mg kg⁻¹</td>
<td>Pandey, 2012a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I. carnea</td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh, India</td>
<td>Invasive</td>
<td>Cd, Pb, Cu, Cr, Mn and Ni; phytoextraction for Cd, Cr and phytostabilization for other metals</td>
<td>Naturally grown on FA deposits</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>BCF values of Cd, Pb, Mn, and Ni in R and S were &gt;1; TF for Cd and Cr &gt;1</td>
<td>Pandey, 2012b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. virgata</td>
<td>Argentinean Pampas</td>
<td>Native</td>
<td>Cu, Zn, Cr; phytostabilization</td>
<td>Pot experiment, Cu, Zn, Cr added</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Conc in R&gt;S; BCF was in order Zn&gt;Cr&gt;Cu</td>
<td>Branzini et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Species</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Metals and Bioavailability</td>
<td>Field Experiment/ Pot Experiment Details</td>
<td>BCF/TF Order/ TF&lt;1 Details</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>---------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. indica, C. siamea, E. hybrida, E. officinalis, T. grandis, D. strictus, D. sissoo, P. pinnata</em></td>
<td>Khaperkhed a thermal power plant, Nagpur, India</td>
<td>Native</td>
<td>Fe, Mn, Ni, Zn, Cu, Cr, Pb; C. <em>siamea</em> has been found as a hyperaccumulator</td>
<td>Field experiment (10 ha) FA dump with organic amendments and biofertilizers</td>
<td>BCF was in order Fe&gt;Mn&gt;Ni&gt;Zn&gt;Cu&gt;Cr&gt;Pb; Jambhulkar and Juwarkar, 2009</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. cannabina</em></td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh, India</td>
<td>Green manure crop</td>
<td>Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, Pb, Ni; phytostabilization</td>
<td>Pot experiment with mixtures of FA + GS from 10 - 100%</td>
<td>30 and 90 days; BCF of metals in order, Fe &gt; Mn &gt; Zn &gt; Cu &gt; Pb &gt; Ni and TF&lt;1; Sinha and Gupta., 2005</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>P. juliflora</em></td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh, India</td>
<td>Native tree</td>
<td>Fe, Mn, Zn, Cu, and Cr; phytostabilization</td>
<td>Pot experiment with mixtures of FA + GS + FYM + PM + BGA</td>
<td>15, 30 and 45 days; BCF was in order Fe&gt;Mn&gt;Cu&gt;Zn&gt;Cr in the treatments; Rai et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Cassia seamea</em></td>
<td>Uttar Pradesh, India</td>
<td>Native tree</td>
<td>Cu, Zn, Ni, Fe; phytostabilization for all metals except Ni</td>
<td>Pot experiment; GS, FA, FA+GS (1:1 w/w), FA+FYM (1:1 w/w), FA + PM (1:1 w/w)</td>
<td>20, 40 and 60 days; TF&lt;1 for all metals except Ni; Tripathi et al., 2004</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Role of grasses and legumes

Grasses are considered for initial vegetation cover as they are mostly drought tolerant and can grow in even nutrient poor conditions. Their gradual growth to develop a massive fibrous root network helps in slowing down erosion, increasing soil shear strength and conserving soil moisture (Tengbeh, 1993). Eventual drying of the vegetative shoots at the end of the life cycle, form mulches which also prevents erosion, facilitates water infiltration, improves soil moisture, ameliorates soil temperature and enhances nutrient supply. Stabilizing steep slopes by developing a grass cover is a better soil conservation practice and works faster than trees (Brindle, 2003). Aromatic grasses are a better option for in situ management of FA as they yield environmental and societal benefits. They are stress tolerant crops and can flourish in adverse conditions.

Earlier reports by several workers show that wild aromatic grasses are found to grow profusely and producing high biomass on FA disposal sites, for e.g. S. munja and S. spontaneum (Pandey et al., 2015). Roots of S. munja have been found to grow up to 10–15 ft deep in FA (Pandey et al., 2012). Various other aromatic grasses like Cymbopogon martinii, Vetiveria zizanioides, Cymbopogon flexuosus and Cymbopogon winterianus can also be grown extensively on FA deposits to earn profits (Verma et al., 2014). Very few studies have been done in past on the growth of these grasses on FA except some reports which studied the growth pattern with high rate application of FYM (Kumar and Patra, 2012). The oil released from the leaves (C. flexuosus and C. winterianus), inflorescence (C. martinii), and roots (V. zizanioides) of these grasses is used in perfumery, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics. Heavy metal toxicity in the oil extracted can be avoided due to hydro distillation (Khajanchi et al., 2013). Moreover, their growth is supported due to porous nature of FA which assists better root growth. The unpalatability, minimal water requirement and perennial nature of these grasses make them more suitable to be used in restoration studies (Gupta et al., 2013).

Legumes have also been found to be very effective in the revegetating FA landfills (Jambhulkar and Juwarkar, 2009). They exhibit rapid growth as well as enhance substrate characteristics by increasing organic carbon and total nitrogen content. In this context, drought resistant, fast growing species are chosen which readily produce decomposable nutrient rich litter for soil (Madejon et al., 2006). Turnover of their fine roots as well as nodules also have dramatic effect on soil fertility (Singh et al., 2002). The amount of nitrogen (N) fixed by a legume can be calculated by multiplying its biomass by a factor of 0.8 (Thomas et al., 1997) whereas approximately 13 - 682 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) can be fixed in a legume-grass plantation. Persistence of legumes, soil N status and competition with the associated grasses are the factors which influence N fixation in a mixed plantation. Use of N fertilizers as well as dry conditions favor increase in soil inorganic N which in turn reduce nitrogen fixation.

On the other hand, uptake of soil N by grass conjointly with competition from grasses increases N fixation by legumes (Lenka et al., 2012). Fixed N in the range of 3 - 102 kg N ha\(^{-1}\) yr\(^{-1}\) is transferred from legume to grass through a complex pathway including contact between roots, mycorrhizal fungi, release of N in exudates and turnover of roots (Spehn et al., 2002). The amount of N transferred figures out to 2 - 26% of the total nitrogen fixed (Milcu et al., 2008). Lazzarotto et al., (2009) developed a dynamic plot-scale model (PROGRASS) with respect to parameters such as plant dry biomass yield, leaf area index, uptake of soil N and biological N fixation in a grass-clover plantation. This model simulated seasonal and inter-annual dynamics of plantation and the role of root development in lowering substrate C: N ratio and favoring carbon allocation to the shoot. Mixed plantation
of grasses and legumes produce sufficient aboveground biomass which prevent formation
of gullies during soil erosion (Maiti and Maiti, 2015; Normaniza and Barakbah, 2011) by
obstructing raindrops and increasing surface roughness. Above ground prostrate parts also
reduce flow velocity of water during heavy rain (Gray and Sotir, 1996). In a nutshell this
mixed plantation creates N balance in soil. Generally, legumes can be used in combination
with grasses, to revegetate FA disposal sites by the following ways (Tripathi et al., 2004):

1. Sowing of pasture legumes with grassy species as initial colonizers to cover the
ash surface. This technique requires minute amounts of fertilizer input and
facilitates nodulation in legumes. A similar type of revegetation strategy has also
been done in a study conducted by (Maiti and Maiti, 2015).
2. Sowing of legumes with non-legumes. This technique requires high rate of
fertilizer application to help the growth of non-legumes. For example application
of sewage sludge can supply huge amounts of mineral N (Maiti and Maiti, 2015).
3. Seeding the site with rapidly growing tolerant grass species to cover the ground
in a short period with the aids of fertilizers. The establishment of the grassy
cover can be followed by growing leguminous forbs, shrubs or trees with the
withdrawal of N fertilizers (Maiti and Maiti, 2015).

Some commonly used efficient and highly recommended legume-grass species for
the above vegetation strategies are as follows (Maiti and Maiti, 2015):

**Stylosanthes** sp., commonly called Stylo legume, is widely grown in tropic-
agricultural areas with acidic soils (Liu et al., 1997), mainly as a cover crop to suppress
weed growth (Ramesh et al., 1997). It restores soil fertility by establishing thick
vegetation cover in a short period of time and thus considered as a pioneer crop for
plantation on waste lands, mine sites as well as watersheds (Pathak et al., 2004; Maiti
and Maiti, 2015). Some species such as *S. humilis*, yields high biomass, uptake
P (limiting nutrient) very efficiently from deficient soils and incurs biological nitrogen
fixation (BNF) through nodules (O’Hara et al., 1988).

**Crotalaria juncea** L. is also an herbaceous, annual, fast growing tall legume plant
which fixes nitrogen in nodules present in its long taproot system. Propagation of *C.
juncea* occurs through seeds with a germination time of 2 - 3 days after sowing in
presence of adequate soil moisture of 6.4% (Maiti and Maiti, 2015). A hectare land can be
revegetated with *C. juncea* with approximately 5 kg seeds in regular spacing of 0.5 m.
The plant dies to generate a nitrogen rich litter and mulch for the substrate. Moreover the
bark of the plant gives valuable fibre and is the earliest recorded fiber crops in history
(Chaudhury et al., 1978). It is a pioneer species for nitrogen fixation and restoration of
degraded lands as it improves soil quality, adds organic matter to soil, suppresses weeds
and recycles plant nutrients. It is widely grown in the tropics as a summer cover crop and
green manure because of its fast growth to produce more than 5.4 ton dry matter ha⁻¹ and
1.1 kg ha⁻¹ nitrogen in 9 – 12 weeks. Nitrogen concentration in leaves ranges between 2 –
5% and 0.6 – 2% in roots and stems (Treadwell and Alligood, 2008).

**Cymbopogon citratus**, also called lemon grass is a tall, aromatic, perennial grass with
deep roots and linear leaves (Akhila, 2010). It is propagated by planting old tillers
through. Tops of well grown culms are generally harvested after 5.5 - 6.5 months after
growth and cut till 20-25 cm above ground to divide the plant into slips containing 2-3
tillers. A single plant can produce approximately 40 - 50 tillers after 6 months. The
growth of tillers begins at the apical meristem followed by production of axillary buds
and subsequent emergence of new tillers. Increase in the number of tillers during the
growth phase of lemon grass follows a sigmoid-shaped curve to reach a peak point after
which tillers start to die. It is difficult to distinguish the main culms from new grown tillers when a maximum growth is reached (Linares et al., 2005). Oil extracted from lemon grass is used as an insecticide, as main constituent in perfumery, and as a raw material in the synthesis of aromatic substances. In Europe, leaves are used in tea and in Mexico, it is traditionally used as a sleep aid, tranquilizer, digestive, anti-influenza and antispasmodic (Rauber et al. 2005). It has been widely used for reclamation of degraded lands and can be used as barrier to control runoff and erosion. The root structure permits water to pass while holding soil particles (Sugumaran et al., 2005; Maiti and Maiti, 2015).

In addition, to the above advantages of grasses and legumes various studies in the past have proved the metal phytoremediation potential of these plants. Mitrovic et al., 2008 reported spontaneous colonisation of fly ash deposit by a grass Calamagrostis epigejos after 13 years. It was found to exhibit phytoextracting property towards metals such as B and As. In recent study Pandey et al., 2012 reported metal levels within the toxic limit for plants in S. munja. More studies on the phytoremediation potential of legumes and grasses growing on fly ash should be done to explore newer species for restoration of the degraded areas.

Addition of amendments to fly ash for efficient establishment of plants

FA landfill sites provide a hostile environment, unfavorable for plant growth, which can be made suitable through application of amendments. Amendments may be added into the surface layer of ash, preceding vegetation to favorably improve chemical and physical conditions of the substrate and sustain plant growth. This helps in boosting up vegetation establishment and thus support rehabilitation programmes (Haynes, 2009).

Topsoil, organic and chemical amendments

Covering of waste material with topsoil or subsoil typically of 5 – 10 cm depth has been the most successful method of restoration. Topsoiling furnishes favorable physicochemical conditions for plant growth, builds up nutrient supply and curtails detrimental toxic effects of ash. In general topsoil and FA are mixed considering their heterogeneous nature, i.e. clayey FA with sandy topsoil for much beneficial effects. This procedure has some limitations as it can be put into use only when there is a ready supply of locally available topsoil. In absence of topsoil, dewatered biosolids, composted chicken manure or green waste and other organic amendments can be used. In addition, organic mulches can be spread over the surface, to mimic a temporary soil cover. This combination is exceptionally useful during preliminary stages of establishing vegetation on fly ash lagoons (Jusaitis and Pillman, 1997). Mulches have following major roles in reclamation processes: (i) curtailing water loss through evaporation and lessening surface temperature, (ii) diminishing erosion by wind and rain thus adding to soil stability, (iii) improving infiltration and water holding capacity of the soil, (iv) accretion of soil organic matter, (iv) and reducing weed germination (Haynes, 2009). Chemical amendments, such as EDTA, limestone although enhance phytoremediation process but are generally toxic (Evangelou et al., 2007) to both plants and beneficial soil microorganisms. Organic amendments provide a source for slow-release of nutrients, improve soil physico-chemical biological conditions, increase water retention capacity and help to establish a self-sustaining microbial community in the substrate (Haynes 2009). One of the mostly used and upcoming amendments in recent times is biochar, a charcoal like residue leftover after pyrolysis of biomass. It is
relatively stable and inert in nature and its inclusion into substrate is a pathway for C sequestration. Addition of biochar greatly increases water retention capacity and helps developing the soil microbial community of the soil (Belyaeva and Haynes 2012).

A great number of studies have been carried out by blending sandy topsoil/farmyard manure/mill mud/compost/biosolids/sewage sludge with ash dams, fly ash landfills to ascertain the positive effect of organic amendments on revegetation of degraded lands (Juwarkar and Jambhulkar, 2008; Schwab et al., 1989). Cheung et al., 2000 used 30% vermiculite as well as sewage sludge compost (w/w) in pot scale studies with lagoon ash. However field studies provide more valuable information about potential revegetation of ash. Studies have shown that field practices of revegetation on FA deposits require utilization of high rate of fertilization and addition of NPK in absence of topsoil. This is essential for initial establishment of green cover. According to agronomic techniques mineral fertilizer containing NPK is used in the ratio 15:15:15 or 19:19:19 for initial fertilization to a root tilled depth of 8 cm, which can be made by using 228 kg Urea, 90 kg P2O5 and 90 kg K2O per ha. Amendments are usually applied in 5 cm layers and then root tilled to depth of 15 cm (Punshon et al., 2002).

**Microbial amendments**

FA being toxic in nature to living organisms is desolated of microbial activity and microbes, usually comprised of heterotrophic microflora, N2-fixing bacteria and mycorrhizal fungi. Despite of the fact, that these microbes are gradually brought into the site from neighboring soils, predominantly in the form of air-borne inoculums, FA makes it a tough medium for their survival and colonization (Sinha and Gupta, 2005). Notwithstanding all this, different species of mycorrhiza as well as *Rhizobium* have been found to possess varying range of survival potentials on FA medium (Juwarkar and Jambhulkar, 2008). The tolerant fungi and bacteria get the advantage of being selected during progressive vegetation establishment, forming symbiotic associations with existing and invading plant species leading to beneficial outputs. Mycorrhiza plant consortium increase the effective root volume of plants by more than eighty times and help them to scavenge nutrients and water. In augmentation with this mycorrhiza also protect plants against heavy metal-induced oxidative stress. Leguminous plants fix atmospheric nitrogen in association with *Rhizobium* bacteria to increase soil N (Juwarkar and Jambhulkar, 2008). Furthermore, there exists specificity in symbiotic association of legumes and strains of *Rhizobium* and accordingly leguminous seeds or plants are often inoculated with appropriate *Rhizobium* strain. In some studies, rhizobial and mycorrhizal inoculums had been isolated from an already revegetated site in view of their tolerance to the FA medium (Juwarkar and Jambhulkar, 2008). Some non leguminous trees have also been found to be symbiotically associated with N2-fixing organisms (e.g. *Alnus* spp), and can also aid in forming vegetation cover on ash deposits. Sometimes, locally available organic wastes (eg. press-mud, sewage sludge, municipal solid waste etc.) can also be blended or inoculated with FA-tolerant bacteria (Pandey et al., 2009b). Long term carbon sequestration in soil can be mediated through the priming effect of fungi (Fontaine et al., 2011). In a study carried out in south-eastern USA it has been reported that fungal endophyte infection increases carbon sequestration potential of tall fescue stands (Iqbal et al., 2012).

Amendments also help in reduced leaching of harmful trace metals from the substrate for example Zhou et al. (2014) showed decreased heavy metal concentrations in plants compared to control soil which contained no amendments. Percentage of
inhibition increases with increasing doses of amendments. They help plants sequester heavy metals and assist in phytoremediation. Recently, microbe mediated processes of metal uptake by plants are being studied (Ma et al., 2011). Microbial metabolites in the rhizosphere alter metal mobility and bioavailability in turn being biodegradable, less toxic and can be easily produced in situ in rhizospheric soils. Plant-associated microbes also produce some growth promoting substances such as siderophores, growth hormones; 1-amino cyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid (ACC) deaminase which further improve vegetation growth (Babu and Reddy, 2011).

Trace metal availability and uptake by plants from fly ash

*Bioavailability of metals*

Heavy metals are one of the toxic pollutants present in FA which cannot be degraded and hence persist in the substrate. Bioavailable metals is the fraction of the total metal contaminant in the substrate which is actually available to the receptor organisms, such as plants, microbes or humans and the extent to which these chemicals may become involved in the metabolism of the organism. Dynamics of metals is most active in surface layers due to their interaction with diverse microbes, higher organic matter content and cation exchange capacity. Microorganisms sequester/bioaccumulate trace metals from even very low concentrations in the substrate. The accumulation is the result of either (i) biosorption or (ii) physiological uptake through metabolic processes. Trace metals, once deposited in soil also interact with the soil minerals and organic constituents and this depends on soil properties (pH, nature of organic as well as inorganic anions) and environmental factors. The charged metal ions get attached to the charged soil surface by electrostatic or other specific bonds (Ma et al., 2011). A pH value >6 lowers the concentration of free metal ions in the soils due to increased surface charge on oxides of Fe, Al and Mn and chelation by organic matter. Higher pH also causes precipitation of metals and causes metal immobilization in the presence of anions such as sulfate, carbonate, hydroxide and phosphate (Adriano, 2001). Formation of complexes by trace metals and the general order of their affinity to get complexed with organic matter are in the following sequence (Adriano et al., 2004): Cu\(^{2+}\) > Cd\(^{2+}\) > Mn\(^{2+}\) > Fe\(^{3+}\) > Zn\(^{2+}\) > Pb\(^{2+}\) > Ni\(^{2+}\) > Co\(^{2+}\). In the natural environment, deposited metals undergo natural attenuation or remediation through transformation of their labile or bioavailable fraction (i.e., ion pair, those weakly adsorbed on exchange surface or complexed with humic ligands) (National Research Council, 2003; Campbell, 1995).

The natural remediation and uptake by plant roots has been depicted in *Figure 4*. The whole process is divided into four phases. Metal partitioning between solid and liquid phase is guided by processes such as adsorption, precipitation, complexation and redox reactions. This completes phase A which encompasses the base for bioavailability of metals. Phase (B, B’) involves the transport of metal to the organism in soluble or colloidal form. This phase can also become an exposure pathway to grazing livestock through ingestion of soil particles while feeding on contaminated pastures. Colloidal form of metal transportation involves organic matter and is highly reactive. It contains higher metal concentration in comparison to those in the solution. Phase C involves passing of the metals through a biological membrane or root membranes. Roots also serve as a biofilter for contaminants. Phase D or the last phase involves circulation and assimilation of metals in the metabolic machinery of the organism and resulting into a biological response which may include growth and biomass of the organisms (Adriano
et al., 2004). Transfer of metals from soil to plant is a very complex process and is governed by natural as well as anthropogenic factors (Rodriguez et al., 2011). Baker and Walker (1990) suggested that uptake, translocation and bioaccumulation mechanisms differed for various heavy metals and for the plant species.

Figure 4. Bioavailability processes for metals in the rhizosphere of plants, emphasizing the mechanisms in soil solution interface. Legends: OC = organic carbon; C+ = cation; A+ = anion; L− = ligand; pe = redox potential. Modified from Adriano et al., 2004.

Trace metal extraction procedures

Bioavailability tests are generally conducted to examine the effects of toxic metals leached from ash on living organisms (Shim et al., 2005). Generally various single extractions as well as sequential extraction procedures are used for estimation of and distribution of various chemical forms of a metal in soils/sediments. They include mineral acids (e.g., 1N HCl or 1N HNO₃), salt solutions (e.g., 0.1M CaCl₂), buffer solutions (e.g., 1M NH₄OAc) and chelating agents (e.g., DTPA) which help in estimation of the bioavailable fraction of trace elements in soils (van der Watt et al., 1994). In a study, Zhou et al. (2014) prepared the extracts by suspending soil in 1M MgCl₂ solution in 1:10 ratio w/v and shaking at 150 rpm at room temperature for 2 h followed by separating the extracts by centrifuging at 4000 rpm for 10 min and filtering out the solid. Phytoavailable metals in FA and soil are also determined by DTPA extraction protocol (Lindsay and Norvell, 1978). Mehlich I and III are also being used to extract soil micronutrients in 1:4 and 1:8 ratio of w/v respectively. Mehlich I is composed of 0.0125M H₂SO₄ + 0.025M HCl while Mehlich
III is made by mixing 0.2N CH₃COOH + 0.25N NH₄NO₃ + 0.013N HNO₃ + 0.015N NH₄F + 0.001M EDTA (Mylavarapu et al., 2002).

On the other hand, leaching tests are a group of protocols which are carried out to find the rate of leaching and release of metals as a function of pH (Shim et al., 2005). Shim et al. 2005 used distilled water and 1N HNO₃ for leaching test and pH dependent leaching test respectively. The toxicity characteristic leaching procedure (TCLP) is also the method of choice accepted by the USEPA for determining the amounts of potential toxic materials that could potentially leach from the soil and fly ash samples by 0.57 % glacial acetic (USEPA, 1992). TCLP was also followed in various studies (Xenidis et al., 2003; Zhou et al., 2014) to monitor the release of trace metals from contaminated soil by extracting 5 g of sample with 100 mL of extractant on a rotary extractor at 30 rpm for 18 h. The extracts are filtered and processed for further analysis. Table 5 depicts the range of metals released by various reagents with values below and above the general regulatory limits given by different countries which are also called soluble threshold limit concentration.

### Table 5. Available concentration of metals (mg kg⁻¹) in fly ash, obtained by extracting with various reagents by different workers. The concentrations are compared to soluble threshold limit concentration.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metals (mg kg⁻¹)</th>
<th>Extractant used</th>
<th>STLC#</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Deionized water/ distilled water</td>
<td>0.05M DTPA-CaCl₂</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample: solution (w/v)</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>1:2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pH</td>
<td>5.8 – 6.3</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period of extraction</td>
<td>6h</td>
<td>2h</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fe</td>
<td>0.01 - 1.7</td>
<td>8.2 – 21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>0.1 – 1.1</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>0.03 - 0.13</td>
<td>0.41 – 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>0.02 - 0.06</td>
<td>&lt;0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>0.01 - 0.02</td>
<td>0.85 – 6.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn</td>
<td>0.02 – 4.8</td>
<td>1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.09 - 0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Se</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.6 - 4.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>0.01 – 0.71</td>
<td>0.14 - 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>0.03 – 0.86</td>
<td>0.94 – 2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>0.07 - 1.10</td>
<td>0.38 – 1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ba</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.2 - 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ag</td>
<td>BDL</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA: not available; BDL: below detection limit; STLC: soluble threshold limit concentration.

a-e Shim et al., 2005
a Lindsay and Norvell, 1978; Nayak et al., 2014
b Punshon et al., 2002
c USEPA, 1992; Zhou et al; 2014
d Ward et al., 2003; Pandey et al., 2016
e Shim et al., 2005
Usually, if the amount of trace metals released from the FA exceeds the regulatory limits it is grouped under hazardous waste (Shim et al., 2005). All the toxic elements are generally found within the STLC levels except Se and As. It has been reported that leachability of Se and As is variable in different leaching conditions (Ward et al., 2003). Leachability of As is significantly guided by the pH of the extractant which peaks at the middle of the pH range and lowers at the ends (Ward et al., 2003).

**Factors assessing phytoremediation potential of plants and pollution level in FA**

Decontamination/detoxification processes mediated through plants also called “phytoremediation” can render contaminated substrate harmless. This further improves soil biological activity, structure, and fertility (Salt et al., 1998). Fe, Si, As, Cd, Pb is some examples of the various trace elements found in FA. Studies have reported that treated FA generally has lower quantities of toxic metals compared to untreated ones. It has also been observed that concentration of metals decreases during gradual weathering and revegetation processes (Kumari et al., 2013). Phytoremediation of heavy metals involves two basic processes:

1. Phytoextraction - metal accumulation by hyperaccumulator plants from soil in their harvestable parts, which after a certain time period are harvested, disposed or incinerated.
2. Phytostabilization is captivating metals and preventing their leaching with the help of adventitious roots along with associated rhizospheric microbes. Phytostabilization has become the most successful and well approved process.

Differing metal contents within various plant parts are due to different cellular mechanisms which control their translocation in plant systems. Hyper-accumulation of a metal by a plant is judged by two dimensionless parameters (Gonzaga et al., 2006):

1. Bioaccumulation factor (BAF) is the ratio of metal concentration in roots to that in substrate. BAF values greater than 1 indicate the potentiality of the plant to be used for phytoremediation (Kumari et al., 2013). This factor can be calculated in the similar way for stems and leaves (Pandey, 2012a). Various plant species with different values of BAF have been enumerated in Table 4.
2. Bioabsorption coefficient (BAC) is metal content in shoot/metal content in soil (Varun et al., 2012).
3. Translocation factor (TF) is the concentration of metal accumulated in above ground part (shoot) by that accumulated in below ground part (root) of a plant. Various plant species with different values of TF have been enumerated in Table 4.
4. Metal pollution Index (MPI) finds out the relationships between metal load in roots and shoots and can be calculated by the following formulae (Singh et al., 2008), where C_f is the concentration factor or metal concentration of n metals in a sample. In this context concentration factor of a metal can be determined by dividing a particular metal concentration in the sample by the concentration in the background.

\[ MPI = \sqrt[n]{(C_{f1} \times C_{f2} \times C_{f3} \times \ldots C_{fn})} \]

5. Enrichment factor (E_f) is determined by comparing accumulated trace metals with background concentration (Sinex and Helz, 1981) and can be calculated by
the below formulae. $E_I$ and MPI do not involve threshold values of metals in samples during calculation, which is a drawback.

$$\text{Enrichment factor} = \frac{\text{TM}}{\text{Background}}$$

6. Enrichment Index (EI) is calculated by averaging the ratios of element concentration to a threshold or permissible level. The permissible levels of metals in soil and FA are given in Table 3, which shows the maximum allowable limit of metals in the substrate to be safe for growth of food crops (Das and Chakrapani, 2011). For example the formulae for 5 metals will be as follows, where metal symbols represent metal concentration in the sample.

$$E_I = \frac{1}{5} \left( \frac{\text{Cr}}{25} + \frac{\text{Cu}}{150} + \frac{\text{Ni}}{60} + \frac{\text{Zn}}{300} + \frac{\text{Pb}}{300} \right)$$

7. Geoaccumulation index ($I_{geo}$) (Ruiz, 2001) is another index similar to enrichment index and calculated for quantification of metal in the substrate in relation to the background. It is expressed by the following formulae where $C_n$ is the metal concentration in the sample and $B_n$ is the background value whereas 1.5 is the background matrix correlation.

$$I_{geo} = \log_2 \left( \frac{C_n}{1.5 \times B_n} \right)$$

$I_{geo}$ can be classified into six grades according to the values obtained, such as:
- Grade 1: unpolluted when $I_{geo} < 1$
- Grade 2: very lightly polluted when $1 < I_{geo} < 2$
- Grade 3: lightly polluted when $2 < I_{geo} < 3$
- Grade 4: moderately polluted when $3 < I_{geo} < 4$
- Grade 5: highly polluted when $4 < I_{geo} < 5$
- Grade 6: very highly polluted when $5 < I_{geo} < 6$

Background values in the above formula can be substituted by the maximum allowable concentration or the threshold limit.

The above indexes can be used to determine the metal pollution level in plants as well as the substrate. They are very helpful in performing comparisons between differently reclaimed FA dumps. Moreover, initial studies for species selection for a future restoration programme can also be done on the basis of these indexes. Growing of hyperaccumulator species in restoration programmes is another aspect in which heavy metals contamination can be remediated from FA sites (Mendez and Maier, 2008). Pandey (2012a) reported that naturally growing Azolla caroliniana (water fern) on metal enriched FA ponds can be beneficial due to its toxitolerant characteristics such as high bioconcentration factor (BCF). $A. \text{caroliniana}$ had high BCF values for all metals in roots and fronds in the range from 1.7 to 18.6 and 1.8 to 11.0, respectively while TF ranged from 0.37 to 1.4 for various heavy metals (Pandey, 2012a). Biomass of this fern doubles in 3–9 days, depending on habitat conditions and success of
Phytoremediation depends on growth rate of the plant. Physicochemical and biological factors such as pH, soil mineralogy, texture, salinity, amount of humic acids, and presence of organic chelators are responsible for metal availability and bioaccumulation in the plants (Pandey et al., 2010). Pandey (2013) studied about the potentiality of *Ricinus communis* L. to be used as a vegetation cover on metal enriched FA site and found that it has a BCF value greater than 1 and TF less than 1. *T. dentata*, is another a fern species which accumulates more metals in their roots/rhizome than the fronds (Kumari et al., 2011). Pandey et al. (2010) also found higher metal concentrations in root parts of chickpea growing on FA. The tendency of plants to accumulate metals in their aboveground and below ground parts is directly proportional to amount and time of exposure to the fly ash. Metals are generally sequestered in the root cell vacuoles to diminish its toxicity. Roots often act as a barrier against heavy metal translocation and are more tolerant to toxic metal concentrations, thus explaining higher accumulation when compared to shoots (Shanker et al., 2005).

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, this paper emphasizes on an efficient reclamation strategy of FA disposal sites which are a foremost challenge nowadays to check land and environment degradation. Above discussion on FA characteristics, enrichment and geo-accumulation index of toxic metals can be considered before initiating technical restoration on the site. A prior survey on the FA properties such as pH, texture, metal leaching should be carried out before selecting the type of amendment application, rate of application and type of plant species to be used. This would also help in reducing ground water contamination. Use of geotextiles is also recommended in certain cases to curtail erosion of FA during monsoon.

Plant species such as fast growing legumes act as green manure whereas hardy tuft grasses act as mulches. They can reduce the use of costly topsoil and also require less manure. Knowledge of the phytodiversity of old FA deposits and also the inventory discussed in this paper will help in selecting a right combination of native and exotic species for gradual restoration of the sites. In addition, rapidly growing perennial cover species, mixed plantation of grasses and legumes ameliorates the substrate, stabilises toxic metals, produces sufficient aboveground biomass, prevents erosion and can also lend a future source of income for local people. Biomass production is a new prospect in FA landfills management and can be initiated at later stages of restoration. Economically important trees which generate pulp, paper, biodiesel, firewood, timber wood, plywood, aromatic grasses yielding essential oils, fast growing legumes producing non timber forest products should be practised efficiently in these areas.

Above all this, a regular monitoring schedule is of prime importance in each restoration programme. Time to time analysis of substrate nutrient status, extent of nutrient loss, bioavailable toxic metals, and their accumulation in the vegetation will help in guiding the future steps to improve the status of these sites. This type of monitoring data emphasizing on the long term change in FA properties due to vegetation and metal leaching into ground water will benefit the future approaches to be used in management of FA deposits.
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