CHEMICAL AND MICROBIOLOGICAL PROPERTIES OF HUNGARIAN SANDY SOILS UNDER DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT PRACTICES
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Abstract. The type and the management have strong effect on the composition and activity of microbial community and therefore on fertility of the soil. In this study ecological and conventional managements were compared in a sandy area of the Nyírség (North-Eastern) region, Hungary. Soil samples were collected twice from the upper and lower part of the sloping study sites, in autumn 2012 and in spring 2013, from the 0-30 cm and 30-60 cm depth intervals. Beside the main physical and chemical soil properties, the community structure (phospholipid fatty acid characterization) and activity (invertase and catalase enzymes) of microbes were investigated. The recycled plant residues can buffering the negative environmental/human effects, decreases the differences between the chemical and microbiological results of two elevation positions, and results favourable conditions to the soil microbes. Higher pH, total and organic C content, total and nitrite-nitrate-N content, furthermore higher enzymatic activities were measured in the ecological than in conventional site. Our results showed that ecological management had positive effect on the quality and fertility of the sandy soils in the Nyírség region by improving the chemical soil parameters and increasing the community size and the activity of microbes. Most of the measured parameters were more favourable in the 0-30 cm than the lower sampled layer.
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Introduction

The global human population is 7.5 billion and it is expected to reach 9.7 billion by 2050 (UN, 2015). Thus, applying the rules of sustainable management is essential to meet the growing demand for food. Organic production is a management system based on best environmental practices, a high level of biodiversity, the preservation of natural resources and the application of high animal welfare standards. In contrast, conventional management system focuses firstly on high crop yield, however the demand for healthy foods has been started to emerge.

In ecological management mineral fertilizers could be replaced with green manure, manure, recycled crop residues. In Hungary, the ecological management is carried out in accordance with the 834/2007 and 889/2008 EU Commission Regulations. Pesticides and mineral fertilizers are allowed only in conventional management based on different regulations of the countries. The European Union is divided into three zones concerning
the authorisation of a Plant Protection Products and Hungary belongs to the zone “B”, with Belgium, Czech Republic, Germany, Ireland, Luxembourg, Netherlands, Austria, Poland, Romania, Slovenia, Slovakia and the United Kingdom. Furthermore in Hungary a list of the currently authorized plant protection products and enhancing substances is published yearly. The dose of applied nitrogen fertilizers is regulated by the Nitrates Directive (1991).

Nowadays, the negative effects of used chemicals on the biodiversity and human health are known (EASAC, 2015; Kim et al., 2017; Kidd et al., 2017), moreover there are several reports on qualitative and quantitative differences of soil microbial community in ecological and conventional managements (Romaniuk et al., 2011; Ge et al., 2013). Beside sustainability, there is growing demand for healthy food and plant production and ecological management opens this door.

The soil microbial community has important role in the soil fertility, because of its influence on the dynamics of organic matter and nutrient cycles (Bowles et al., 2014).

Phospholipid fatty acids (PLFAs) are the main component of the membrane of all living microbial cells, where special PLFA patterns characterise different microbial groups (Gude et al., 2012; Zogg et al., 1997; Zelles et al., 1992; Bossio et al., 1998). Thus, the application of PLFA techniques results in direct information for the group-level identification, classification and quantification of microbial community composition (Wu et al., 2009). Properly selected and applied soil cultivation method results in higher soil organic carbon content, hereby provides available nutrients for the soil microbes (Prasad et al., 2016). The microbial activity and biodegradation as well as the organic carbon and total nitrogen content also are more pronounced in the ecological management than in conventional management system (Ge et al., 2013).

The objective of the present study was to give a complex characterization of the studied ecological and conventional sites in a topographically heterogenous area. We hypothesized, that the ecological management had favourable influence on the soil physical and chemical properties as well as on the community structure and microbial activity of soil, hereby contributing to sustainable plant production.

Materials and methods

Sampling sites and management

The studied fields belong to the Research Institute of Nyíregyháza, University of Debrecen, in the North-Eastern part of Hungary. The region has a moderately cold-dry continental climate with 10.5 °C annual mean temperature and 500-750 mm annual mean precipitation. The soil type is acidic sandy soil (Dystric Arenosols) with diverse forms of dunes (Michéli et al., 2006). The selected areas were close to each other (about 400 m, Fig. 1) however, soils varied according to the topographical position (Fig. 2a,b).

Soil samples were collected from two representative upper and lower parts of the slope of both ecological and conventional management sites, so we distinguished four sample types: EU: ecological upper part of slope (47°5849′17″N to 47°5849′72″N, and 21°4036′82″E to 21°4037′35″E, 156 m above the sea level); EL: ecological lower part of slope (47°5848′81″N to 47°5849′57″N and 21°4031′48″E to 21°4031′97″E, 151 m above the sea level); CU: conventional upper part of slope (47°5841′35″N to 47°5841′91″N and 21°4051′10″E to 21°4051′55″E, 158 m above the sea level); CL: conventional lower part of slope (47°5842′43″N to 47°5842′99″N and 21°4054′64″E to 21°4054′96″E, 153 m
above the sea level). The difference in elevation between the upper and lower part of the slope is 5 m in both site. We used for the measure of GPS coordinates an Trimble NOMAD 900 G device.

Ecological crop production has been maintained since 1997. In the studied sampling period, cereal crops (rye with hairy vetch and oat) were cultivated in the ecological and conventional fields, respectively. In both managements soils were ploughed up to 30 cm depth every year. Furthermore, in the conventional management fertilizers and pesticides were applied according to the needs of the plants. In the ecological management the loss of organic and mineral matters were compensated with farmyard and green manure (at least once in 5 years, respectively) and regular incorporation of the crop residues.

The physical properties indicated the heterogeneity of sandy soils in Nyírség region (Table 1). These parameters were determined with dry sieving and sedimentary method.
from the upper 30 cm depth interval in autumn 2012 (Buzás, 1993), from the same samples than chemical properties. Although the two investigated sites were located within a few hundred meters, the textures of these soils were different. The soil moisture was significantly higher in the ecological site.

The cultivated plants in ecological field were rye with hairy vetch (the previous crop was buckwheat), and in the conventional site oat was cultivated and rye with hairy vetch was cultivated in 2012 year.

### Table 1. Physical soil properties of the investigated soils (0-30 cm, 2012)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>gravel</th>
<th>sand</th>
<th>fine sand, silt, clay</th>
<th>soil moisture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>%/m</td>
<td>%</td>
<td>% dry matter</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>30.28 ± 1.33&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.35 ± 0.52&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>54.37 ± 1.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.77 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>34.65 ± 0.54&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24.07 ± 0.81&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>41.28 ± 1.06&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>6.90 ± 0.29&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>2.53 ± 0.35&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.51 ± 0.52&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>81.97 ± 0.69&lt;sup&gt;+&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.17 ± 0.22&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>9.39 ± 1.63&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.91 ± 0.95&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.71 ± 2.44&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.58 ± 0.16&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (n=12)
<sup>2</sup>Within a column the letters following the numbers represent the differences by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s b test (P < 0.05)
<sup>3</sup>EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope

### Sampling time and method

Samples were collected in two different seasons. The first sampling was completed in autumn 2012 (25-26 October), and the second sampling was done on 25 April 2013. The observed anomalies of weather are shown in Fig. 3, based on the data of the meteorological station of the Research Institute of Nyíregyháza.

**Figure 3.** Soil temperature and precipitation of the investigated areas measured by the Research Institute of Nyíregyháza, from May 2012 until April 2013. Data were provided by a μ-Metos meteorological station (Pessl Instruments GmbH, Weiz, Austria), which recorded the data in every 2 minutes in the area of the Research Institute
Soil samples were collected from two depth intervals, 0-30 cm (ploughed) and 30-60 cm, in four repetitions. One repetition is a composite of four drilled subsamples. Samples for microbial analysis were put into a cooler bag in the field, and were frozen immediately after being carried to the laboratory. The samples for chemical analysis were stored air-dried until the analysis.

**Determination of chemical soil properties**

These properties were measured in the samples collected from the 0-30 cm soil depth. The larger plant roots were removed and the air-dried samples were sieved (2 mm mesh size) before the chemical analyses. Soil pH was measured with a Hach-Lange, HQ411D type digital pH meter (Hach-Lange, Loveland, Colorado, USA) in a 1:2.5 soil:KCl suspension (MSZ-08-0206-2 Hungarian Standard Method, 1978). Total carbon (C) and nitrogen (N) content were measured with varioMax CNS elemental analyser (Elementar Analysensysteme GmbH, Hanau, Germany). The organic carbon content was measured spectrophotometrically (UNICAM UV2 spectrophotometer, Thermo Scientific, Waltham, Massachusetts, USA) after acidic digestion (potassium dichromate and cc. sulphuric acid; MSZ-08-0452 Hungarian Standard Method, 1980).

The KCl extracted nitrite-nitrate-N concentration was measured with an autoanalyzer FIA Star 5000 (Foss, Hilleroed, Denmark; MSZ 20135 Hungarian Standard Method, 1999).

**Phospholipid fatty acid (PLFA) analysis**

For PLFA analysis the samples were chosen based on the results of enzyme activities measurements. PLFAs were extracted, fractionated and methylated as described by White et al. (1979) with minor modifications. Methyl nonadecanoate was used as internal standard after the methylation step. The prepared samples were stored at -20 °C until the analysis. The PLFAs were separated and identified using a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer system (GC 6890N with MS 5975, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA, USA) with a 100 m Supelco SP-2560 column, in selected ion mode and scan mode as well (50-350 amu).

Detected PLFAs were expressed in nmol PLFA g⁻¹ dry soil unit (the results were corrected with the soil moisture content), and these data were used to represent the different microbial groups of soil. The unbranched, saturated PLFAs 14:0, 15:0, 16:0 and 18:0 represented the general bacterial biomass (Gude et al., 2012). The branched, saturated PLFAs IC15:0, aC15:0, iC16:0, iC17:0, aC17:0 indicated the G-positive (G⁺) (Zogg et al., 1997), as well as the monoenoic and cyclopropane unsaturated C16:1n7c, C16:1n5c, C18:1n9c, cyC19:0 indicated the G-negative (G⁻) bacteria (Zelles et al., 1992). The 10MeC16:0 and 10MeC17:0 represented the Actinobacteria (Bossio et al., 1998), and C18:2n6 was used as a fungi marker (White et al., 1979). Sum of these measured PLFAs were used to calculate the total PLFA concentration of the soil microbial community.

**Soil enzyme activities**

Samples were stored at -20 °C and were incubated for one day at 4 °C before the analysis. Before sieving (Ø = 2 mm), larger plant roots were removed in the laboratory. Invertase activity was measured photometrically at 508 nm, with 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (Mikanová et al., 2001). Catalase activity was determined with potassium
permanganate titration from air-dried soil samples (MSZ-08-1721/4-86 Hungarian Standard Method, 1986).

Statistical analysis

All measurements were reported as mean values with standard error (SE). Statistical analysis was done using three internal repetitions respectively (n = 12) for the more accurate statistical result except in case of PLFA analyses, where internal repetitions were not measured (n = 4). All statistical analyses were carried out with the IBM SPSS Statistics 22.0 software package (IBM Inc., USA), applied a significance level of P < 0.05 was applied. One-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) followed by Tukey’s-b and Games-Howell tests (depending on to results of the test of homogeneity of variances) were used to compare the means of different sampling sites. Correlations between abiotic and biotic soil parameters were determined using Pearson’s correlation. Principal Component Analysis (PCA) was used to compare PLFA profiles between two management systems and soil layers. For PCA the two enzyme activities and the PLFA results, furthermore the total C and N content were used as variables, because the total C, N content significantly influenced these parameters.

Results

Chemical soil properties

The soils in the Nyírség region generally have acidic pH in accordance with the measured low pH\textsubscript{KCl} (3.89-5.98) of the sampling sites (Table 2). The pH\textsubscript{KCl} was more favourable in ecologically managed sites than in conventional sites. Chemical parameters were better on the lower part of slopes, but topography had reverse effect on pH\textsubscript{KCl} because it was higher in the samples originated from the upper part of slopes (EU: 5.98; CU: 3.94) than samples from the lower part of slopes (EL: 5.02; CL: 3.89).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>pH\textsubscript{KCl}</th>
<th>total C *</th>
<th>organic C *</th>
<th>total N *</th>
<th>NO\textsubscript{2} + NO\textsubscript{3} - N *</th>
<th>C:N ratio</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>5.98±0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.573±0.005&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.462±0.008&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.063±0.000&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.012±0.003&lt;sup&gt;ab&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.13±0.05&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>5.02±0.04&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.727±0.033&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.711±0.013&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.085±0.004&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.020±0.001&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.52±0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>3.94±0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.313±0.003&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.236±0.002&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.040±0.001&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.007±0.000&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.91±0.11&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>3.89±0.03&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.645±0.016&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.556±0.005&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.073±0.002&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.010±0.000&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.88±0.03&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* in %d / % dry matter unit
1Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (n=12)
2Within a column the letters following the numbers represent the differences by one-way ANOVA followed by Games-Howell test (P < 0.05)
3EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope

Generally, comparing the two managements, favourable values were found in ecological management, where all the measured chemical parameters were better. The most significant differences were in cases of available-N and organic-C content. The values measured in the conventional management on the upper part of slopes are only 58.3% and 51.1% of values measured in ecological site, respectively, while on the lower
part of slopes these portions are 50.0% and 78.2%, respectively. However the differences in total-N and total-C content between the managements were less, 63.5% and 54.6% on the upper part of slopes, respectively, while 85.9% and 88.7% on the lower part of slopes, respectively. According to the elevation positions, the C:N ratio was higher on the upper part of the slope in ecological site, while in the conventional management higher ratio was measured on the lower part of the slope.

**Microbial community structure**

The actual structure of microbial community was identified by PLFA markers. Lower concentrations of the individual PLFA markers were measured in spring 2013 (Table 4) than in the previous sampling time (Table 3). Microbial biomass, estimated as total PLFA showed significant differences (P < 0.05) between the management systems, reliefs and depths. The highest value was measured in EU upper soil depth interval (23.73±1.05 nmol PLFA g⁻¹) in autumn 2012. In the first sampling time the highest G+:G⁻ ratio was measured in samples from EU 0-30 cm depth interval (1.62±0.07). Fungi:general bacteria ratio was the highest in CU in upper soil depth interval (0.18±0.01). In contrast to the other data, actinobacteria:general bacteria ratio was higher in the 30-60 cm soil depth interval (except in CU), and was significantly higher in ecological fields (0.29±0.01 in EU; 0.16±0.00 in EL). There is a large difference in this ratio between the two soil depth intervals in the ecological field while it is similar in both studied depth intervals of conventional field.

**Table 3. The PLFAs indicated structure of microbial community in autumn 2012**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker PLFA</th>
<th>EU 0-30 cm</th>
<th>EL 0-30 cm</th>
<th>EU 30-60 cm</th>
<th>EL 30-60 cm</th>
<th>CU 0-30 cm</th>
<th>CU 30-60 cm</th>
<th>CL 0-30 cm</th>
<th>CL 30-60 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General bacteria</td>
<td>7.96±0.32</td>
<td>1.45±0.07</td>
<td>2.89±0.13</td>
<td>1.93±0.07</td>
<td>3.47±0.15</td>
<td>1.88±0.01</td>
<td>2.15±0.09</td>
<td>1.08±0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram⁻ bacteria</td>
<td>8.49±0.40</td>
<td>1.87±0.09</td>
<td>3.37±0.15</td>
<td>2.07±0.06</td>
<td>3.68±0.16</td>
<td>1.90±0.07</td>
<td>2.24±0.06</td>
<td>0.76±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram⁺ bacteria</td>
<td>5.26±0.22</td>
<td>1.16±0.06</td>
<td>2.80±0.11</td>
<td>1.43±0.04</td>
<td>3.34±0.14</td>
<td>1.45±0.05</td>
<td>2.09±0.08</td>
<td>0.83±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>0.82±0.06</td>
<td>0.42±0.02</td>
<td>0.27±0.01</td>
<td>0.35±0.01</td>
<td>0.40±0.02</td>
<td>0.20±0.01</td>
<td>0.29±0.01</td>
<td>0.13±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi (C18:2n6)</td>
<td>1.17±0.04</td>
<td>0.13±0.01</td>
<td>0.32±0.02</td>
<td>0.13±0.00</td>
<td>0.64±0.02</td>
<td>0.27±0.01</td>
<td>0.25±0.01</td>
<td>0.11±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PLFA</td>
<td>23.78±1.05</td>
<td>5.04±0.25</td>
<td>9.29±0.39</td>
<td>6.20±0.21</td>
<td>11.54±0.15</td>
<td>5.69±0.18</td>
<td>7.04±0.17</td>
<td>2.64±0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram⁻:Gram⁺ bacteria</td>
<td>1.62±0.07</td>
<td>1.60±0.06</td>
<td>1.35±0.05</td>
<td>1.26±0.05</td>
<td>1.10±0.04</td>
<td>1.34±0.04</td>
<td>1.07±0.02</td>
<td>1.31±0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi:general bacteria</td>
<td>0.14±0.01</td>
<td>0.09±0.00</td>
<td>0.15±0.00</td>
<td>0.06±0.00</td>
<td>0.18±0.01</td>
<td>0.14±0.00</td>
<td>0.11±0.00</td>
<td>0.10±0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria:general bacteria</td>
<td>0.09±0.00</td>
<td>0.16±0.00</td>
<td>0.12±0.01</td>
<td>0.07±0.00</td>
<td>0.16±0.00</td>
<td>0.17±0.00</td>
<td>0.13±0.00</td>
<td>0.12±0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (nmol PLFA g⁻¹ dry soil), (n=4)
2Within a row the letters following the numbers represent the differences (small letters in 0-30 cm soil depth and capital letters in 30-60 cm soil depth) by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s b test (P < 0.05)
3EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope

In spring 2013 (Table 4) the G⁺:G⁻ bacteria ratio was also higher in the ecological samples on the upper part of the slope (1.38±0.05 in EU), but this ratio was close to each other on the top and the bottom of the hill. Fungi:general bacteria ratio was higher on the upper part of the slope in the upper soil depth interval (0.16±0.01 in EU, 0.22±0.01 in CU). In contrast to the other PLFAs, the actinobacteria:general bacteria ratio was higher in the 30-60 cm depth interval in both managements(except of CL).
Comparing the managements, significantly higher ratios were found in ecological fields (0.202±0.01 in EU, 0.209±0.01 in EL). The calculated ratios show similar trend to the previous sampling time.

**Table 4. The PLFAs indicated structure of microbial community in spring 2013**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomarker PLFA</th>
<th>EU 0-30 cm</th>
<th>EU 30-60 cm</th>
<th>EL 0-30 cm</th>
<th>EL 30-60 cm</th>
<th>CU 0-30 cm</th>
<th>CU 30-60 cm</th>
<th>CL 0-30 cm</th>
<th>CL 30-60 cm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>General bacteria</td>
<td>3.06±0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.50±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.21±0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.72±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.73±0.29&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.89±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.81±0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.01±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram’ bacteria&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.39±0.14&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.87±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.40±0.11&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.63±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.82±0.07&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.43±0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.47±0.12&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.30±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram ’ bacteria&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.45±0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.67±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.86±0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.46±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.36±0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.74±0.11&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.99±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.23±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.18±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.17±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.15±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.18±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.07±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19±0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi (C18:2n6)&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.47±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06±0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.34±0.02&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.04±0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.38±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.03±0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.37±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PLFA&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.62±0.39&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>2.67±0.07&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.09±0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.93±0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5.48±0.22&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.40±0.04&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.76±0.41&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.85±0.15&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram’Gram bacteria</td>
<td>1.38±0.05&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.29±0.03&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.28±0.01&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.29±0.08&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.33±0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.23±0.04&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.26±0.05&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>1.31±0.05&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi:general bacteria</td>
<td>0.16±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.06±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.15±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.22±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08±0.01&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.13±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.05±0.00&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria: general bacteria</td>
<td>0.08±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.20±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.08±0.00&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.20±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.10±0.00&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.14±0.00&lt;sup&gt;d&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.12±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.19±0.01&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>1</sup>Data are expressed as mean ± standard error (nmol PLFA g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil), (n=4)
<sup>2</sup>Within a row the letters following the numbers represent the differences (small letters in 0-30 cm soil depth and capital letters in 30-60 soil depth) by one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey’s-b test (P < 0.05)
<sup>3</sup>EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope

**Soil enzyme activities**

Both measured enzyme activities showed similar trends to the main chemical parameters with higher values in the ecological field and in the upper depth interval. In case of invertase enzyme (Fig. 4a,b), a large decrease in activity was observed in spring 2013 in both sampling sites and depth intervals, with most pronounced changes in the upper 30 cm of EU site (−13.92 mg glucose * g<sup>-1</sup> dry soil * 4 h<sup>-1</sup>). However this decrease was more significant, than in the case of PLFAs. In both managements and depth intervals the intensity of decrease was similar, about hundredth.

The invertase activity was effected in different ways by the elevation position. Generally, higher activity was measured in the upper depth interval on the upper part of slopes, while in the 30-60 cm depth interval higher activity was found on the lower part of slopes. The only exception was in the upper depth interval in autumn under conventional management, where the activity was higher on the lower part of the slope.

On the contrary, a slight increase (0.09-0.83 mg O<sub>2</sub> * g<sup>-1</sup> * h<sup>-1</sup>) of catalase activity was observed in spring 2013 (Table 5), but the ratios of ecological:conventional sites in different elevation positions were similar to the results of autumn 2012. In most cases, the activity was higher on ecological sites and on the lower part of slopes. Our results suggest the higher stability and independence of catalase enzyme from the environmental factors comparing to the invertase activity.
Figure 4. Invertase activity in autumn 2012 (a) and in spring 2013 (b). Above the columns the letters represent the differences (small letters in 0-30 cm soil depth and capital letters in 30-60 soil depth) by one-way ANOVA followed by Games-Howell test (n=12; P < 0.05). EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope

Table 5. Catalase activity of investigated samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sampling site</th>
<th>Catalase activity (mg O₂ g⁻¹ dry soil h⁻¹)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Autumn 2012</td>
<td>Spring 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-30 cm</td>
<td>30-60 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0-30 cm</td>
<td>30-60 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU</td>
<td>1.548±0.061b</td>
<td>1.296±0.084B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.927±0.073b</td>
<td>1.210±0.066A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EL</td>
<td>2.231±0.091c</td>
<td>1.845±0.053C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.592±0.146c</td>
<td>2.208±0.155C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CU</td>
<td>0.823±0.026a</td>
<td>0.516±0.023A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.388±0.086a</td>
<td>1.006±0.044A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>1.500±0.044b</td>
<td>0.793±0.085A</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.072±0.162bc</td>
<td>1.618±0.089B</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1Data are expressed as mean ± standard error
2Within a column the letters following the numbers represent the differences (small letters in 0-30 cm soil depth and capital letters in 30-60 soil depth) by one-way ANOVA followed by Games-Howell test (n=12; P < 0.05)
3EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope
Principal component analysis

Results of principal component analysis (PCA) indicated that the sampling sites under different managements, elevation positions and depth intervals were separated (Figs. 5-6). In autumn 2012 the first component contained the bacterial PLFA groups and total PLFAs while fungal PLFA belonged to this component just in the upper depth interval. The second component represented C and N content, invertase and catalase enzymes in every cases while fungi and actinobacteria PLFAs in the below depth interval. Ratios of individual microbial groups usually were weak indicators of soil properties. In spring 2013 similar trend was observed.

Figure 5. Results of principal component analysis in autumn 2012 from the 0-30 cm (a) and the 30-60 cm soil layer (b). EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope

Figure 6. Results of principal component analysis in spring 2013 from the 0-30 cm (a) and the 30-60 cm soil layer (b). EU, ecological upper part of the slope; EL, ecological lower part of the slope; CU, conventional upper part of the slope; CL, conventional lower part of the slope
Discussion

Chemical soil properties

Our results excellently presented the heterogeneity of sandy soils in Nyírség region. Earlier results (Yan-Jun et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2013) showed that the nutrient content was more favourable in those sites, where organic manure/crop residues were used as fertiliser, and the higher proportion of larger aggregates (> 2 mm) were observed. This probably resulted in increased microbial biomass and activity with increased extracellular polysaccharides providing good cementing agent to soil aggregation process (Liu, 2007). These are in accordance with our measured enzyme activities and PLFA results. The favourable effect of ecological management is indicated by the smaller difference between the two topographical sites than in conventional field. Furthermore the lower soil moisture in the conventional sites also may be responsible in the lower enzymatic activities (Stark and Firestone, 1995).

The different microorganisms are located in different parts of soil. While bacteria live in the smaller pores, fungi are located in the larger pores and on the surface of aggregates therefore fungi are more sensitive to different environmental effects (Gordon et al., 2008). Nevertheless our sampling sites on hills were not affected by topographical exposure which is proved by the higher microbial activity and PLFA values on the upper part of slopes.

In this study higher soil pH on ecological site was observed which suggests that organic manure apply and/or lack of fertilizer could, to some extent, alleviate soil acidification. Furthermore, the measured lower values of pHKCl in conventional site probable were caused by the acidifying effect of the applied fertilizers (Pais and Horváth, 1990). The pH seems to be a chemical soil factor with high importance on microbial properties (Bååth and Anderson, 2003; Wang et al., 2013), which is also confirmed the results of our correlation studies (Table 6).

Table 6. Relationships of biotic and abiotic variable pairs in autumn 2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>pHKCl</th>
<th>Total C</th>
<th>Organic C</th>
<th>Total N</th>
<th>NO3, NO2 : N</th>
<th>C:N ratio</th>
<th>gravel</th>
<th>sand</th>
<th>fine sand, silt, clay</th>
<th>soil moisture</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Invertase activity</td>
<td>0.620**</td>
<td>0.561**</td>
<td>0.485**</td>
<td>0.904**</td>
<td>0.204</td>
<td>0.535**</td>
<td>0.617**</td>
<td>0.375**</td>
<td>-0.621**</td>
<td>0.619**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catalase activity</td>
<td>0.452**</td>
<td>0.838**</td>
<td>0.920**</td>
<td>0.843**</td>
<td>0.626**</td>
<td>0.386**</td>
<td>0.761**</td>
<td>0.738**</td>
<td>-0.836**</td>
<td>0.713**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General bacteria</td>
<td>0.906**</td>
<td>-0.081</td>
<td>-0.258</td>
<td>-0.201</td>
<td>0.799**</td>
<td>0.458</td>
<td>0.390</td>
<td>-0.188</td>
<td>-0.277</td>
<td>0.444</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram bacteria</td>
<td>0.913**</td>
<td>-0.079</td>
<td>-0.243</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>0.778**</td>
<td>0.401</td>
<td>0.438</td>
<td>-0.118</td>
<td>-0.331</td>
<td>0.499</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram bacteria</td>
<td>0.802**</td>
<td>-0.291</td>
<td>-0.423</td>
<td>-0.358</td>
<td>0.612**</td>
<td>0.192</td>
<td>0.334</td>
<td>-0.120</td>
<td>-0.246</td>
<td>0.439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>0.843**</td>
<td>-0.202</td>
<td>-0.379</td>
<td>-0.325</td>
<td>0.696**</td>
<td>0.421</td>
<td>0.275</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>-0.162</td>
<td>0.343</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi (C18:2n6)</td>
<td>0.788**</td>
<td>-0.282</td>
<td>-0.453</td>
<td>-0.399</td>
<td>0.635**</td>
<td>0.369</td>
<td>0.215</td>
<td>-0.313</td>
<td>-0.106</td>
<td>0.296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total PLFA</td>
<td>0.884**</td>
<td>-0.141</td>
<td>-0.305</td>
<td>-0.244</td>
<td>0.738**</td>
<td>0.376</td>
<td>0.385</td>
<td>-0.159</td>
<td>-0.279</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gram:Gram bacteria</td>
<td>0.890**</td>
<td>0.629**</td>
<td>0.448</td>
<td>0.480</td>
<td>0.980**</td>
<td>0.812**</td>
<td>0.654*</td>
<td>0.039</td>
<td>-0.544</td>
<td>0.565</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fungi:general bacteria</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>-0.949**</td>
<td>-0.971**</td>
<td>-0.948**</td>
<td>-0.276</td>
<td>-0.344</td>
<td>-0.468</td>
<td>-0.464</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td>-0.297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria:general bacteria</td>
<td>-0.733**</td>
<td>-0.561</td>
<td>-0.554</td>
<td>-0.620**</td>
<td>-0.730**</td>
<td>-0.058</td>
<td>-0.990**</td>
<td>-0.762**</td>
<td>0.980**</td>
<td>-0.989**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level (2-tailed)
** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed)

Among others pH has strong effect on substrate availability of enzymes (Voroney, 2007) which play the basic role in energy and nutrient supply of microbial communities. Usually the PLFA content increases with increasing pH (Bååth and Anderson, 2003).
which was proved by the 0.884 correlation coefficient between total PLFA and pH$_{\text{KCl}}$. That means 2-6 times higher concentrations of different PLFAs in the samples with higher pH.

The organic matter and nutrient pool is more stable where organic nutrient inputs are applied, rather than in case of other nutrient supplying techniques (Nardi et al., 2004). The quality and quantity of plant residues input into the soil can affect the organic matter content of soils (Chen et al., 2000; Edmeades, 2003). Greater than 100 kDa SOM fraction, which improves the soil structure and increases the plant and microbial nutrient uptake, was observed at the highest percentage in case of organic manure application (Dell’Angola et al., 1964). Furthermore, application of animal manure in organic management could increase the microelement content of soil (Biró et al., 2005). The increasing organic C and PLFA content of ecological field indicated the possible presence of this SOM fraction. The increase of total and organic carbon, total nitrogen and the carbon:nitrogen ratio in our ecologically managed sampling sites resulted in higher available N content for plants. Based our results acidic pH in conventional sites could inhibit the availability of these nutrients.

**Soil community structure and microbial activity**

The different organic matter content and the value of available substrates resulted in the structural changes of microbes, because the nutrient supply method had strong effect on the community structure (Hartman et al., 2006). When the easily available, unstable carbon forms are present in the soil, the increase of G$^-$ bacteria markers can be observed (Peacock et al., 2001), but less easily available carbon source increases the value of G$^+$ bacteria in the soil (Keynan and Sandler, 1983). The higher G$^+$:G$^-$ bacteria ratio in an ecological site indicates the presence of more stable carbon forms.

Higher rate of fungi in the ecological management than in the conventional one has already observed (Esperischütz et al., 2007; Marschner et al., 2004). The increasing organic matter input resulted in an increase of fungi, thereby the increase of fungi:general bacteria ratio (Frostegård and Bååth, 1996). However, our results showed that fungi:general bacteria ratio was depended on the climate conditions and can varied seasonally. In case of easily available fertilizer application the ratio of bacteria increased, because the fungi are primarily responsible for breakdown of the persistent materials (Grayston et al., 2004). This was confirmed by our results, where the values of fungi markers were higher in the ecological samples from the upper 30 cm soil layer because of the presence of recyclable but not easily available plant residues.

The main difference of the two management systems was found in the upper soil layer. While in this layer the conditions were more favourable for the microbes especially in case of substrates sources (Prasad et al., 2016), the number and activity of microbes were higher and the differences between the two cultivated systems were stronger.

When the values of easily available nutrients decreased, the decomposition process of actinobacteria became dominant (Bastida et al., 2013). The found negative correlation between actinobacteria markers and organic C ($r = -0.379$) indicated that the degradation processes started in autumn after the harvest and recycling of plant residues, but this relationship was not statistically significant.

Seasonal variation had strong effect on the microbial status of soils in both management systems (Fig. 4 and Table 5). In spring 2013 the results were not significantly different probably due to the reduced microbial activity and the inactive
microorganisms because of the combined effect of autumn soil cultivation and the extremely cold spring weather.

Our study revealed that the land use impact on soil enzyme activities vary under different management and environmental conditions. The recycled plant residues could increase the essential substrates’ concentrations of enzymes (e.g. cellulose, hemicellulose) (Wick et al., 1998) which could result in higher enzyme activities. The organic matter, increased by the recycling of plant residues, can protect the extracellular enzymes against destroying them (Martens et al., 1992) and provide their substrates which could increase the enzymes activities in ecological field. However, the acidic pH usually inhibits the microbial activity (Sahoo et al., 2010). Differences are significant also in the lower soil layer which indicate the positive effect of long-term ecological management on the whole soil profile.

Invertase enzyme can break down some carbohydrate polymers at the end of the C cycle therefore its activity depends on other enzymes using higher organic matter as a substrate. Catalase protected cells against the oxidative damage. Invertase activity usually positively correlated with soil organic carbon and total nitrogen content (Frankenberger and Johanson, 1983). Catalase activity usually was stable in the soil, and showed significant correlation with organic carbon content and reduced with depth (Alef and Nannipieri, 1995). Close vertical connection of different soil layers is represented by the results of invertase activity. The unfavourable climate in spring 2013 decreased the enzyme activity not only in the upper, but also in the lower soil depth interval. Although both measured enzymes are extracellular but their climate-sensitivity seems to be rather different. Similar decreasing of invertase activity and PLFA values suggested the closer connection of invertase activity with the living microbial cells. However, the differences between the PLFA values in two sampling time were not so high than in case of invertase activity. This fact indicated a metabolically inactive microbial biomass (Šnajdr et al., 2008).

Locations of enzymes and PLFAs (living cells) in soil are different: our results suggest that living microbial cells are connected rather to the higher soil particles while enzymes, especially the catalase, are connected rather to the medium sized particles. The soil temperature and moisture, the available substrates are the most dominant factors having combined effects on the seasonal dynamic of microbial activity (Bing-Cheng and Dong-Xia, 2012).

**Conclusions**

Beside altered microbial activity, structural changes in microbial biomass were observed between the ecological and conventional managements but the differences were less in unfavourable climate conditions.

We confirmed that as low as 5 m differences in the topography can result in different physical, chemical and microbiological properties in different soil depth of the upper and lower parts of the slope. These differences due to the elevation positions are less in the ecological field, indicating stronger soil protecting management.

The relationships between living cells and enzyme activities were different at the four studied sites. Our results proved the strong correlation between invertase activity and microorganisms while catalase activity was found more stable and independent from living cell number. The bacterial and fungal microbe groups showed different
sensitivity to the environmental factors (temperature, moisture, pH), and their seasonal variability was also observed.

Ecological management enhanced the microbial activity of acidic sandy soil compared to the conventional one. Positive effects of recycled crop residues were observed in ecological site, but more investigations are required on the relationships between the quality and quantity of recycled crop residues and the different chemical and microbial properties on the upper and lower part of slopes.
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