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**Abstract.** *Fusarium* dry rot is one of the most important diseases of potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.), affecting the tubers in storage and the seed pieces after planting. *Fusarium sambucinum* and *F. solani* are common pathogens causing dry rot of stored tubers in temperate areas. In this study, infection of *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani* on tissue discs prepared from tubers of potato varieties that are susceptible or moderately resistant to this disease was studied. Tubers were wounded, inoculated, incubated at 15-20 °C for 5 weeks and the size of the rot was assessed. All isolates were found pathogenic to potato tubers and differed in pathogenicity. Obtained results revealed that *Fusarium* isolates showed variable aggressivity upon the 17 tested potato cultivars. Furthermore, no cultivars were found to be completely resistant to the whole *Fusarium* isolates, and only one cultivar showed a lesser susceptibility to pathogen. This cultivar was Broke®. Moreover, *F. sambucinum* isolates were detected as more aggressive pathogens than *F. solani* in all cultivars. Additionally, one out of three isolates of *F. sambucinum* was more aggressive than the others. All isolates used in this study were identified both based on colony and conodial morphology and also confirmed by molecular methods.
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**Introduction**

Potato (*Solanum tuberosum* L.) is among the top five crops growing world wide following cereals wheat, rice, corn and barley due to its high carbohydrate content and adaptability. It is an important source of calorie, protein and fat for humans, industrial raw material for starch and alcohol production and also feed for animals. Global annual production of potato in 2016 was about 376.827.000 tonnes (FAOSTAT, 2017). The annual yield loss of potato crop caused by insects, weed and diseases in the developing countries such as Turkey is determined to be 32.4%. Diseases alone are responsible for 21.8% of yield reduction (Eken et al., 2000). Losses associated with dry rot have been estimated to range from 6% to 25%, and occasionally losses as great as 60% have been reported during long-term storage (Estrada et al., 2010; Secor and Salas, 2001). Dry rot is caused by a number of *Fusarium* species affecting sprouting and emergence at the beginning of the season, which results in yield loss and damage to the quality of daughter tubers, especially during storage (Corsini and Pavek., 1986; Hooker, 1981; Al-Mughrabi, 2010; Borca and Carmen., 2013). Furthermore, there may be potential reductions due to other reasons, such as bacterial soft rot. *Fusarium* species are known to cause dry rot, particularly *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani* are the most common pathogens. To establish strategies for the control of this disease, the primary steps would be to make a correct diagnosis and identify the pathogen on potato. In recent
years, the increasing use of molecular methods in fungal diagnostics enabled a reliable and rapid identification. The most important method of control against this disease is to grow resistant varieties. But potato cultivars vary in their degree of resistance to *F. sambucinum* and other *Fusarium* species.

The objectives of this study were: (1) to identify the *F. solani* and *F. sambucinum* isolates obtained from potato dry rot, (2) to identify the virulence of these isolates by comparison with each other, and finally (3) to test some commercial potato varieties for their tolerance to *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani* isolates causing potato dry rot in Turkey.

### Review of literature

*F. sambucinum* Fuckel (*F. sulphureum* Schlecht)- teleomorph *Giberella pulicaris* (Fr.) Sacc.- is the most common pathogen worldwide causing dry rot of stored tubers, but other *Fusarium* species are also known to cause dry rot, particularly *F. solani* (Mart.) Sacc., *F. avenaceum* (Fr.) Sacc. *F. oxysporum* Schltld., *F. culmorum* (W.G. Sm.) Sacc., and *F. graminearum* Schwabe (Boyd, 1972; Nelson et al., 1981; Hanson et al., 1996; Eken et al., 2000; Borca and Carmen, 2013; Stefanićzyk et al., 2016). Among these fungi, *F. sambucinum* is the most aggressive species both in the world and in Turkey (Boyd, 1972; Hide et al. 1992; Hanson et al., 1996; Secor and Salas, 2001; Eken et al., 2000; Du et al., 2012; Aydın et al., 2016). However, some researchers have suggested that other important *Fusarium* species may cause the dry rot. For example, *F. oxysporum* was reported to be the primary agent responsible for potato dry rot among 11 species in Michigan, USA (Gachango et al., 2012). According to Choiseul et al. (2007), *F. avenaceum* was found to dominate pathogens in *Fusarium* population that was examined on potato between 1997 and 2000 in Scotland. Three significant *Fusarium* species, namely *F. coeruleum*, *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani*, have been reported to principally cause the potato dry rot under temperate conditions (Corsini and Pavek, 1986; Daami-Remadi et al., 2006; Daami-Remadi et al., 2012). Isolated outbreaks of disease have been caused by highly pathogenic species and *F. sambucinum* in Scotland was associated with post-storage rotting (Cullen et al., 2005). In addition to destroying tuber tissues, *F. sambucinum* can produce toxins that have been implicated in mycotoxicoses of the human beings and animals (Richardson and Hamilton, 1987; Senter et al., 1991; Schisler et al., 1997; Sweeney and Dobson, 1999).

Potato cultivars vary in their degree of resistance to *F. sambucinum* and other *Fusarium* species (Jellis, 1975; Hooker, 1981; Jellis and Starling, 1983; Wastie et al., 1989). All of the commonly grown potato cultivars in North America are susceptible to the pathogen, but some are less susceptible than the others (Tivoli et al., 1986).

Sources of resistance to pathogens in potato tubers are given in some literature. In resistant tissues lesion enlargement is confined to the infection spot, which might be due to the suberin deposition in the host cells. It involves formation of lignin, suberin, waxes or wound gums in the immediate cells next to the wounded surface. However, further studies imply that formation of lignin and suberin components of periderm may actively be involved in the defense of pathogens. Independent genetic factors are involved in controlling resistance against major *Fusarium* species on potato (Vance et al., 1980; Wastie et al., 1989; Huaman et al., 1989; Valluru et al., 2016). According to Daami-Daami-Remadi et al. (2006) some *Fusarium* species indicated unstable aggressivity upon some of the tested potato cultivars. *F. graminearum* was the most aggressive pathogen on majority of the cultivars, whereas *F. sambucinum*, *F. solani* and *F.
oxysporum showed a comparable aggressivity on some cultivars used. All inoculated tubers showed dry rot symptoms with different degrees, which revealed that cultivars’ resistance differed against Fusarium species (Daami-Remadi et al., 2006). F. sambucinum was found to be the most aggressive species in a study conducted by Du et al., 2012 in China and according to that study, 56% of the isolates belonged to these species and Sixty-seven clones were identified as susceptible to F. sambucinum. Another survey was carried out in Central Europe by Latus-Ziętkiewicz et al. (1987). According to this study, F. sambucinum was the main pathogen causing dry rot in Poland between 1985 and 1986.

To establish strategies for the control of this disease, the primary steps would be to make a correct diagnosis and identify the pathogen on potato. (Borca and Carmen, 2013; Aydın et al., 2016). It is primarily based on cultural and morphological characters such as the microscopic morphology including shape and size of the macroconidia, the presence or the absence of the microconidia and of the chlamydospores (Gerlach and Nirenberg, 1982; Burgess et al., 1994; Nelson et al., 1983). But in recent years, the increasing use of molecular methods in fungal diagnostics enabled a reliable and rapid identification. These methods are based on the PCR amplification of species-specific DNA fragments using fluorescent oligonucleotide primers, which were designed based on sequence divergence within the internal transcribed spacer region of nuclear ribosomal DNA sequences. This method provided an accurate, reliable and quick diagnosis of Fusarium species including F. sambucinum (Mishra et al., 2003; Visentin et al., 2009; Powel et al., 1996; Abd-Elsalam et al., 2003; Oechsler et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011).

Material and methods

Potato cultivars

Potato cultivars tested in this study are listed in Table 1. They are provided by the various agricultural companies and they are stored in darkness at 4 °C until the experiments were carried out.

Microorganisms and identification

The strains of F. sambucinum (Fs2, Fs3 and Fs4) and F. solani (Fs1) are used in this study were taken infected potatoes from Afyon potato production area in the autumn season. They were isolated from the infected potato tubers of cv. Lady Rosetta with typical symptoms of dry rot and it was previously reported to be virulent on potato tubers (Aydın et al., 2016). The strains were maintained on potato dextrose agar (PDA, 38 g and sterile water, made up to 1 litre). Before starting work, pure tubers were infected with isolates and re-isolated. Thus, the isolates were maintained in virulence. The single spore technique was used to obtain a pure culture of Fusarium isolates. The color of colonies of strains obtained ranged from white to moderate pink. Isolates were stored in the tube at +4 °C until it starts to work. Synthetic Nutrient Agar (SNA, Difco), Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA, Merck) and 1/10 diluted PDA media were used for morphological and microscopic identification. All Fusarium isolates were initially identified according to their morphological and microscopic characters as described by Booth (1977), Gerlach and Nirenberg (1982), Nelson et al. (1983), Hasenkoğlu (1991), Burgess et al. (1994), Leslie and Summerell (2006), Borca and Carmen (2013). And
then, identification of the isolates was further confirmed by molecular approach. Processes related to pathogen isolation and identification are given in Figure 1.

**Molecular identification of Fusarium isolates**

*DNA isolation, PCR condition and phylogenetic analysis*

Fungal Genomic DNA was extracted from mycelium by using the methodology as proposed by Doyle (1987). DNA quality was checked on 1% agarose gel, and then quantification was measured by using the Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific). To achieve the amplification of ITS4-ITS5 region of nuclear genome, PCR was performed in 25 µl volume. This volume occurred from 80 ng of total genomic DNA, 10 pmol both primers, 200 µM dNTP, 2 mM MgCl2, 1X Taq buffer, 1U of Taq Polymerase (5 U/µL, catalog number: EP0402) and ddH2O for complete the last volume. PCR products were separated by gel electrophoresis on 1.5% agarose gels, containing ethidium bromide, and photographed under UV light in a gel doc system. PCR products of ITS4-ITS5 region were sequenced by Iontek Company, Istanbul, Turkey.

*Alignment and phylogenetic analysis*

The obtained sequences were Blasted (basic local alignment search tool) by using the NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) database and percent homology scores were assessed to identify *Fusarium* spp. Phylogenetic trees were made with MEGA version 7 (Tamura et al., 2007). Using a neighbor-joining algorithm, bootstrap analyses for 1000 replicates were performed.

**Table 1.** Characteristics of potato cultivars tested for their tolerance to *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani* isolates causing potato dry rot in Turkey

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cultivars</th>
<th>Tuber shape</th>
<th>Skin color</th>
<th>Flesh color</th>
<th>Dry matter (%)</th>
<th>Usage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marabel</td>
<td>Oblong</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>19.2</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeleine</td>
<td>Round-oval</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>18.2</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermes</td>
<td>Round-oval</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Ochre</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal</td>
<td>Round oval</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brooke</td>
<td>Round oval</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Medium yellow</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lady Claire</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Thin yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>23.8</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musica</td>
<td>Long-oval</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestra</td>
<td>Round oval</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melody</td>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Medium yellow</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vr. 808</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>25.1</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lady Rosetta</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desiree</td>
<td>Smooth</td>
<td>Red</td>
<td>Creamy white</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surya</td>
<td>Oblong</td>
<td>White</td>
<td>Pale yellow</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alegria</td>
<td>Oval</td>
<td>Bright-yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>Crisps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borwina</td>
<td>Round oval</td>
<td>Bright yellow</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soraya</td>
<td>Round</td>
<td>Yellow</td>
<td>Light yellow</td>
<td>----</td>
<td>Cooking</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*These potato varieties are grown and registered in Turkey*
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**Evaluation of dry rot susceptibility to *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani***

A total of 17 potato cultivars (*Table 1*) were selected to test their resistance to *F. sambucinum* (Fs1, Fs2, Fs3) and *F. solani* (Fs1). Symptom-free tubers of dry rot and other diseases were selected for the experiments and weighed from 80 to 100 g. They were washed in running tap water, dipped in sodium hypochlorite (5%) for 5 min, rinsed twice with double distilled sterile water and air-dried for 24 h. Potato tubers were wounded through inward with a drill 8 mm in diameter and 8 mm in depth. An 8 mm agar plug derived from the edge of a 7-day-old fungi colony on PDA was inserted into the drilled tuber hole. A control treatment consisting of a non-inoculated agar plug was inserted into the wounded tuber. The experiment was set up at room temperature of 15-20 °C in separate plastic boxes with sufficient relative humidity for five weeks. Every treatment was repeated for four times (one tuber x one wound). Treatments applied to seed pieces were: (1) not inoculated, (2) inoculated with *F. sambucinum* (Fs2); (3) inoculated with *F. sambucinum* (Fs3), (4) inoculated with *F. sambucinum* (Fs4) and (5) inoculated with *F. solani* (Fs1). After incubation period, tubers were cut through the inoculation site and the depth and width of the rot area were measured. Parameters of

---

**Figure 1.** Isolation and identification of Fusarium isolates. (a) Infected potato tuber by dry rot. (b) Culture purification of Fs isolates. (c) Macrocondia of Fs (d) Microcondidia production by Fs. (e) molecular identification of Fs by species specific primer (NTC: No template control, PC: Positive control)
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Dry rot caused maximal width (w), depths (d) were noted, and tubers were calculated by applying the following formula devised by Lapwood et al. (1984):

\[
\text{Penetration (mm): } \left[ \frac{w}{2} + (d - 6) \right] / 2
\]

Cultivar’s susceptibility to *F. sambucinum* (Fs2, Fs3, Fs4) and *F. solani* (Fs1) was estimated according to this scale: Less or moderately susceptible: mean penetration ≤ 12 mm; Susceptible: 12 mm < mean penetration < 15 mm; Highly susceptible: mean penetration ≥ 15 mm. The data were analysed with SPSS (version 20, SPSS Inc. Chicago, Illinois) and means were separated with Duncan’s multiple range test.

**Results and discussions**

**Molecular identification of *F. sambucinum* (Fs2, Fs3, Fs4) and *F. solani* (Fs1).**

Both *F. sambucinum* (Fs2, Fs3, Fs4) and *F. solani* (Fs1) were identified based on morphological structures as described by Booth (1977), Gerlach and Nirenberg (1982), Nelson et al. (1983), Hasenekoğlu (1991), Leslie and Summerrell (2006) and Borca and Carmen (2013) respectively. In addition for molecular analysis, sequences of ITS4 and ITS5 regions were compared by using the BLAST (basic local alignment search tool) in NCBI (National Center for Biotechnology Information) database in GenBank. Phylogenetic tree generated from the ITS sequence data was found to have a quite consistent resolution with our estimations. The resulting dendrogram (**Fig. 2**) showed that the analyzed ITS gene region represented the variability to differentiate from the isolate of *Fusarium* spp obtained from NCBI.

![Figure 2. Phylogenetic tree based on neighbor-joining analysis of ITS sequences from NCBI and 4 isolated *Fusarium* spp. Bootstrap values are given when above 64% (1,000 replicates)](image)

Analyzed isolates formed two main branches. First main branch was consisted of investigated F1 and *F. solani* isolates obtained from NCBI. So it can be argued that F1 isolates showed a kinship with *F. solani*. The second main branch was built up by the investigated F2, F3 and F4 and *F. sambucinum* isolates obtained from NCBI. So it can
be estimated that F2, F3 and F4 isolates showed a genetic kinship with F. sambucinum. We reach the conclusion that ITS region was found to be as a diagnostic tool to discriminate the investigated isolates in this study. Informative sequences of ITS region also help the close related Fusarium species such as F. verticillioides and F. proliferatum (Visentin et al., 2009). The least informative or low nucleotide sequence variation of the ITS region to clearly identify many complex species was also previously achieved (Oechsler et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2011). Determination of Fusarium spp. using thermal cycler amplification of ITS region of the rDNA using the right primer pairs are reliable, accurate and quick. Duggal et al. (1997) reported that the ITS region shows polymorphisms with in Fusarium spp. This is consistent with the previous study (White et al., 1990) and (O'Donnell, 1992) which under line the applicability of using the ITS region as a molecular marker to identify the Fusarium spp. It was also defined in these works that the rDNA region of F. sambucinum showed a highly conserved. Furthermore, small sequence divergence was found by using the ITS region that can determinate species from the same clan (Turner et al., 1998).

**Evaluation of dry rot susceptibility to F. sambucinum (Fs2, Fs3, Fs4) and F. solani (Fs1)**

Commercial variety susceptibility to Fusarium dry rot decay can affect the market value. Therefore, it is important to grow potatoes that are not susceptible to this disease. The mean dry rot scores are shown in Table 2, and analysis of variance is indicated in Table 3.

**Table 2. Dry rot severity caused by F. solani (Fs1) and F. sambucinum (Fs2, Fs3, Fs4) in potato tubers in vivo test**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varieties</th>
<th>The average severity diseases of isolates</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Marabel</td>
<td>7.63 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>13.06 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeleine</td>
<td>7.25 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>13.44 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hermes</td>
<td>7.94 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>18.75 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal</td>
<td>7.19 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>18.38 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Broke</td>
<td>7.75 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>13.81 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire</td>
<td>9.31 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>10.38 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musica</td>
<td>8.25 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>24.50 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestra</td>
<td>10.44 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>17.81 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Melody</td>
<td>7.09 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>16.81 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vr.808</td>
<td>12.69 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>16.31 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rossetta</td>
<td>12.81 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>12.13 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire</td>
<td>9.56 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>14.56 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surya</td>
<td>8.81 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>21.13 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alonso</td>
<td>12.38 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>17.93 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alegra</td>
<td>10.25 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>21.63 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Borvira</td>
<td>7.50 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>19.27 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soraya</td>
<td>13.50 Severity of Fs1</td>
<td>13.25 Severity of Fs2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Values in the same column followed by the same letter are not significantly different at p = 0.05. CV: 9.05%
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Table 3. Mean squares from the analysis of variance for dry rot inoculation tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variation source (VS)</th>
<th>Degree of freedom (DF)</th>
<th>Sum of squares (SS)</th>
<th>Mean of square (MS)</th>
<th>F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Replication</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>17.088</td>
<td>5.696</td>
<td>2.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>1864.549</td>
<td>116.534</td>
<td>48.82**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isolate</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3103.692</td>
<td>1034.564</td>
<td>380.21**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Variety*Isolate</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>2213.389</td>
<td>46.112</td>
<td>16.94**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error</td>
<td>201</td>
<td>546.939</td>
<td>2.721</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>271</td>
<td>7828.586</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Coefficient of variation (CV) = 9.05%

According to the variance analysis results, the disease reactions of the varieties against *Fusarium* isolates appear to be statistically significant at the level of 1%. Susceptibility of Potato varieties to *F. sambucinum* (Fs2, Fs3, and Fs4) and *F. solani* (Fs1) isolates had different grades with average lesion sizes ranging from 10.73 to 21.06 mm (Table 2). Cultivars were evaluated in laboratory for 5 weeks at 15-20 °C. Obtained results revealed that Broke had a less or moderately susceptible dry rot potential classification, whereas Musica, Melody, Vr.808, Surya, Alonso, Alegra, Borvira, Soraya had a high dry rot potential. The cultivars of Marabel, Madeleine, Hermes, Opal, Claire, Orchestra, Rossetta and Desire were also susceptible in terms of dry rot (Table 4, Fig. 3). Disease development of *Fusarium* dry rot can be increased depending on variety, harvest and handling conditions, tuber characteristics and storage temperatures. Previous studies have shown that the majority of varieties on the market were susceptible to this disease (Tivoli et al., 1986; Wastie et al., 1989; Kumar and Knowles, 2003; Burkhart et al., 2007; Lynch et al., 2003; Daami-Remadi et al., 2006; Du et al., 2012; Baturo-Cieśniewska et al., 2014; Stefaniczyk et al., 2016). So, it is essential to incorporate good management practices in order to reduce *Fusarium* dry rot (Leach and Nielsen, 1975; Al-Mughrabi, 2010).

Table 4. Potato variety susceptibility to Fusarium dry rot

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Less or moderately susceptible</th>
<th>Susceptible</th>
<th>Highly susceptible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Broke</td>
<td>Hermes</td>
<td>Musica</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opal</td>
<td>Melody</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Claire</td>
<td>Vr.808</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Orchestra</td>
<td>Surya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rosset</td>
<td>Alonso</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desire</td>
<td>Alegra</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marabel</td>
<td>Borvira</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madeleine</td>
<td>Soraya</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Different rates in terms of disease severity have occurred among the varieties against the same isolate. For example, *F. solani* (Fs1) caused disease severity on Soraya, Alonso, Vr.808, Rossetta, 13.50, 12.38, 12.68 and 12.81 respectively. On the other hand, some isolate again caused on Marabel, Madeleine, Hermes, Opal and Broke 7.63, 7.25, 7.94, 7.19, 7.75 mm, respectively (Table 2, Fig. 3). Similar results were obtained...
in some studies conducted in different countries (Hooker, 1981; Jellis and Starling, 1983; Tivoli et al., 1986; Wastie et al., 1989). According to Daami-Remadi et al. (2006), all inoculated tubers showed dry rot symptoms with different degrees, which reveal that cultivars’ resistance differed against Fusarium species.

**Figure 3.** Dry rot symptom caused by F. solani (Fs1) isolates on tubers of the cultivar Vr.808, Rosetta (left) and Broke, Opal (right) after 5 weeks of incubation at 15-20 °C

The isolates of whole *F. sambucinum* were more aggressive pathogens than those of the *F. solani* in all cultivars (Table 2). As given in Table 2, the mean diseases Severity of *F. solani* (Fs1) is 9.43, but the isolates of *F. sambucinum* (Fs2, Fs3, Fs4) were between 16.40-18.15 mm. For example, all isolates (Fs4, Fs3, Fs2, Fs1) caused disease severity on Surya cultivar at different rates, 26.25, 28.06, 21.13, 8.81 respectively (Table 2, Fig. 4).

**Figure 4.** Dry rot symptoms caused by *F. sambucinum* (Fs4, Fs3, Fs2) and *F. solani* (Fs1) isolates on tubers of the cultivar Surya after 5 weeks of incubation at 15-20 °C

According to this study, *F. solani* (Fs1) was a weaker pathogen. This result is not supported by some researchers. For example, Lenc et al. (2008) and Peters et al. (2008) have reported *F. sambucinum* and *F. solani* are more frequently associated with dry rot.
of tubers but *F. solani* is considered to be a more aggressive pathogen in most parts of Europe. However, this finding was supported by some other researchers who stated that *F. sambucinum* was more aggressive pathogen than the other *Fusarium* species which caused dry rot on potato (Corsini and Pavek, 1986; Wastie et al., 1988; Du et al., 2012; Aydın et al., 2016). In conclusion, this study also supports that several *Fusarium* spp. have been associated with potato dry rot. But depending on the geographic location and the season, the most frequent and devastating of these species is *F. sambucinum*. The isolates are used in this study obtained in Afyon potato production area in the autumn season. The severity of dry rot in this area was usually found to be high (Aydın et al., 2016).

As a result, susceptibility of varieties was found to be distinct to *F. sambucinum* isolates and *F. solani*. While Broke was less or moderately susceptible, other varieties such as Marabel and Madeleine were detected to be susceptible or highly susceptible to *Fusarium* dry rot according to the total disease severity of the four isolates on the varieties (*Table 4*).

After *Fusarium* isolates grew on the PDA for seven days, they were classified into four different color groups based on mycelium shapes; white (Fs1), Light pink (Fs2), moderately pink (Fs3) and (Fs4). According to phylogenetic analysis also, Fs1 isolate was detected to be close to *F. solani* and the other three isolates are found to be close to *F. sambucinum* (*Fig. 2*). Regarding the color of colonies of isolates on PDA, Fs2 and Fs4 developed close to pink but Fs3 as moderately pink (*Fig. 5*).

All inoculated tubers indicated dry rot symptoms with different degrees against *F. sambucinum* (Fs2, Fs3 and Fs4). Fs2 and Fs4 isolates shared similar levels of pathogenicity, whereas Fs3 caused more severe diseases (*Fig. 6*). Maybe we can make hypothesis that the dark pink of *F. sambucinum* isolates that developed on the medium had more virulence on the potato. Aydın et al. (2016) studied with a dark pink of *F.
sambucinum isolate on potato and assumed that the sprouts of tubers were infected with systemic symptoms and severely rot. Thus, it has been suggested that this isolate may be different from other in terms of both the symptom and the severity of the disease.

![Figure 6. The mean severity of dry rot caused by F. solani (Fs1) and F. sambucinum (Fs2, Fs3 and Fs4) isolates on potato](image)

This result shows that the isolates of *F. sambucinum* may be different from each other in terms of virulence. As already noted other researchers have also reported that the majority of the *F. sambucinum* isolates were pathogenic to the potato and caused an average lesion of 21.6 mm. But only one *F. sambucinum* isolate caused an average lesion size that was considerably lower, at 12.1 mm (Stefańczyk et al., 2016).

**Conclusion**

Briefly, dry rot disease caused by *F. sambucinum* is an economically important field and a postharvest disease throughout the world. This study also reached the conclusion that some strain of *F. sambucinum* investigated were aggressive than the others and caused more severe tuber rots compared to *F. solani*. Many potato cultivars used in this study were susceptible hosts to the pathogen *F. sambucinum*. Only one cultivar showed less or moderate susceptible to pathogen. Phylogenetic tree generated from the ITS sequence data is found to have a quite consistent resolution with our estimations.
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